

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-10427

ROBERT HALF INTERNATIONAL INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

2884 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

94-1648752

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

94025

(Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(650) 234-6000**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, Par Value \$.001 per Share	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company. Yes No

As of June 30, 2011, the aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$3,734,462,097 based on the closing sale price on that date. This amount excludes the market value of 6,714,839 shares of Common Stock directly or indirectly held by registrant's directors and officers and their affiliates.

As of January 31, 2012, there were 142,142,847 outstanding shares of the registrant's Common Stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement to be mailed to stockholders in connection with the registrant's annual meeting of stockholders, scheduled to be held in May 2012, are incorporated by reference in Part III of this report. Except as expressly incorporated by reference, the registrant's Proxy Statement shall not be deemed to be part of this report.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Robert Half International Inc. (the “Company”) provides specialized staffing and risk consulting services through such divisions as *Accountemps*®, *Robert Half*® *Finance & Accounting*, *OfficeTeam*®, *Robert Half*® *Technology*, *Robert Half*® *Management Resources*, *Robert Half*® *Legal*, *The Creative Group*®, and *Protiviti*®. The Company, through its *Accountemps*, *Robert Half Finance & Accounting*, and *Robert Half Management Resources* divisions, is the world’s largest specialized provider of temporary, full-time, and project professionals in the fields of accounting and finance. *OfficeTeam* specializes in highly skilled temporary administrative support personnel. *Robert Half Technology* provides information technology professionals. *Robert Half Legal* provides temporary, project, and full-time staffing of attorneys and specialized support personnel within law firms and corporate legal departments. *The Creative Group* provides project staffing in the advertising, marketing, and web design fields. *Protiviti*, which began operations in 2002, is a global business consulting and internal audit firm. *Protiviti*, which primarily employs professionals specializing in risk, advisory and transactional services, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company.

The Company’s business was originally founded in 1948. Prior to 1986, the Company was primarily a franchisor, under the names *Accountemps* and *Robert Half* (now called *Robert Half Finance & Accounting*), of offices providing temporary and full-time professionals in the fields of accounting and finance. Beginning in 1986, the Company and its current management embarked on a strategy of acquiring franchised locations. All of the franchises have been acquired. The Company believes that direct ownership of offices allows it to better monitor and protect the image of its tradenames, promotes a more consistent and higher level of quality and service throughout its network of offices and improves profitability by centralizing many of its administrative functions. Since 1986, the Company has significantly expanded operations at many of the acquired locations, opened many new locations and acquired other local or regional providers of specialized temporary service personnel. The Company has also expanded the scope of its services by launching the new product lines *OfficeTeam*, *Robert Half Technology*, *Robert Half Management Resources*, *Robert Half Legal* and *The Creative Group*.

In 2002, the Company hired more than 700 professionals who had been affiliated with the internal audit and business and technology risk consulting practice of Arthur Andersen LLP, including more than 50 individuals who had been partners of Andersen. These professionals formed the base of the Company’s *Protiviti* Inc. subsidiary. *Protiviti*® has enabled the Company to enter the market for business consulting and internal audit services, which market the Company believes offers synergies with its traditional lines of business.

Accountemps

The *Accountemps* temporary services division offers customers a reliable and economical means of dealing with uneven or peak work loads for accounting, tax and finance personnel caused by such predictable events as vacations, taking inventories, tax work, month-end activities and special projects and such unpredictable events as illness and emergencies. Businesses view the use of temporary employees as a means of controlling personnel costs and converting such costs from fixed to variable. The cost and inconvenience to clients of hiring and firing regular employees are eliminated by the use of *Accountemps* temporaries. The temporary workers are employees of *Accountemps* and are paid by *Accountemps*. The customer pays a fixed rate only for hours worked.

Accountemps clients may fill their regular employment needs by using an *Accountemps* employee on a trial basis and, if so desired, “converting” the temporary position to a regular position. The client typically pays a one-time fee for such conversions.

OfficeTeam

The Company’s *OfficeTeam* division, which commenced operations in 1991, places temporary and full-time office and administrative personnel, ranging from word processors to office managers. *OfficeTeam* operates in much the same fashion as the *Accountemps* division.

Robert Half Finance & Accounting

The Company's *Robert Half Finance & Accounting* division specializes in the placement of full-time accounting, financial, tax and banking personnel. Fees for successful placements are paid only by the employer and are generally a percentage of the new employee's annual compensation. No fee for placement services is charged to employment candidates.

Robert Half Technology

The Company's *Robert Half Technology* division, which commenced operations in 1994, specializes in providing information technology contract consultants and placing full-time employees in areas ranging from multiple platform systems integration to end-user support, including specialists in programming, networking, systems integration, database design and help desk support.

Robert Half Legal

Since 1992, the Company has been placing temporary and full-time employees in attorney, paralegal, legal administrative and legal secretarial positions through its *Robert Half Legal* division. The legal profession's requirements (the need for confidentiality, accuracy and reliability, a strong drive toward cost-effectiveness, and frequent peak workload periods) are similar to the demands of the clients of the *Accountemps* division.

Robert Half Management Resources

The Company's *Robert Half Management Resources* division, which commenced operations in 1997, specializes in providing senior level project professionals in the accounting and finance fields, including chief financial officers, controllers, and senior financial analysts, for such tasks as financial systems conversions, expansion into new markets, business process reengineering and post-merger financial consolidation.

The Creative Group

The Creative Group division commenced operations in 1999 and serves clients in the areas of advertising, marketing and web design and places project consultants in a variety of positions such as creative directors, graphics designers, web content developers, web designers, media buyers, and public relations specialists.

Protiviti

Protiviti is a global business consulting and internal audit firm composed of experts specializing in risk, advisory and transactional services. The firm helps clients solve problems in finance and transactions, operations, technology, litigation, governance, risk and compliance.

Marketing and Recruiting

The Company markets its staffing services to clients as well as employment candidates. Local marketing and recruiting are generally conducted by each office or related group of offices. Local advertising directed to clients and employment candidates consists of radio, websites, social media, job banks and trade shows. Direct marketing through e-mail, regular mail and telephone solicitation also constitutes a significant portion of the Company's total advertising. National advertising conducted by the Company consists primarily of radio and of print advertisements in national newspapers, magazines and trade journals. Additionally, the Company has expanded its use of job boards in all aspects of sales and recruitment. Joint marketing arrangements have been entered into with major software manufacturers and typically provide for development of proprietary skills tests, cooperative advertising, joint mailings and similar promotional activities. The Company also actively seeks endorsements and affiliations with professional organizations in the business management, office administration

and professional secretarial fields. The Company also conducts public relations activities designed to enhance public recognition of the Company and its services. Local employees are encouraged to be active in civic organizations and industry trade groups.

Protiviti markets its business consulting and internal audit services to a variety of clients in a range of industries. Industry and competency teams conduct targeted marketing efforts, both locally and nationally, including print advertising and branded speaking events, with support from *Protiviti* management. National advertising conducted by *Protiviti* consists primarily of print advertisements in national newspapers, magazines and selected trade journals. *Protiviti* has initiated a national direct mail program to share information with clients on current corporate governance and risk management issues. It conducts public relations activities, such as press releases and newsletters, designed to enhance recognition for the *Protiviti* brand, establish its expertise in key issues surrounding its business and promote its services. *Protiviti* plans to expand both the services and value added content on the *Protiviti.com* website and increase traffic through targeted Internet advertising. Local employees are encouraged to be active in civic organizations and industry trade groups.

The Company and its subsidiaries own many trademarks, service marks and tradenames, including the *Robert Half*[®] *Finance & Accounting*, *Accountemps*[®], *OfficeTeam*[®], *Robert Half*[®] *Technology*, *Robert Half*[®] *Management Resources*, *Robert Half*[®] *Legal*, *The Creative Group*[®] and *Protiviti*[®] marks, which are registered in the United States and in a number of foreign countries.

Organization

Management of the Company's staffing operations is coordinated from its headquarters facilities in Menlo Park and San Ramon, California. The Company's headquarters provides support and centralized services to its offices in the administrative, marketing, public relations, accounting, training and legal areas, particularly as it relates to the standardization of the operating procedures of its offices. As of December 31, 2011, the Company conducted its staffing services operations through more than 350 offices in 42 states, the District of Columbia and 19 foreign countries. Office managers are responsible for most activities of their offices, including sales, local advertising and marketing and recruitment.

The day-to-day operations of *Protiviti* are managed by a chief executive officer and a senior management team with operational and administrative support provided by individuals located in San Ramon and Menlo Park, California. As of December 31, 2011, *Protiviti* had 60 offices in 23 states and 13 foreign countries.

Competition

The Company's staffing services face competition in attracting clients as well as skilled specialized employment candidates. The staffing business is highly competitive, with a number of firms offering services similar to those provided by the Company on a national, regional or local basis. In many areas the local companies are the strongest competitors. The most significant competitive factors in the staffing business are price and the reliability of service, both of which are often a function of the availability and quality of personnel. The Company believes it derives a competitive advantage from its long experience with and commitment to the specialized employment market, its national presence, and its various marketing activities.

Protiviti faces competition in its efforts to attract clients and win proposal presentations. The risk consulting and internal audit businesses are highly competitive. In addition, the changing regulatory environment is increasing opportunities for non-attestation audit and risk consulting services. The principal competitors of *Protiviti* remain the "big four" accounting firms. Significant competitive factors include reputation, technology, tools, project methodologies, price of services and depth of skills of personnel. *Protiviti* believes its competitive strengths lie in its unique ability to couple the deep skills and proven methodologies of its "big four" heritage with the customer focus and attention of a smaller organization.

Employees

The Company has approximately 11,300 full-time employees, including approximately 2,300 engaged directly in *Protiviti* operations. In addition, the Company placed approximately 188,000 temporary employees on assignments with clients during 2011. Employees placed by the Company on assignment with clients are the Company's employees for all purposes while they are working on assignments. The Company pays the related costs of employment, such as workers' compensation insurance, state and federal unemployment taxes, social security and certain fringe benefits. The Company provides access to voluntary health insurance coverage to interested temporary employees.

Other Information

The Company's current business constitutes three business segments. (See Note M of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statement in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data for financial information about the Company's segments.)

The Company is not dependent upon a single customer or a limited number of customers. The Company's staffing services operations are generally more active in the first and fourth quarters of a calendar year. *Protiviti*, which has been in operation since May 2002, is generally more active in the third and fourth quarters of a calendar year. Order backlog is not a material aspect of the Company's staffing services business. While backlog is of greater importance to *Protiviti*, the Company does not believe, based upon the length of time of the average *Protiviti* engagement, that backlog is a material aspect of the *Protiviti* business. No material portion of the Company's business is subject to government contracts.

Information about foreign operations is contained in Note M of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8. The Company does not have export sales.

Available Information

The Company's Internet address is www.rhi.com. The Company makes available, free of charge, through its website, its Annual Reports on Form 10-K, proxy statements for its annual meetings of stockholders, its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and Current Reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, as soon as is reasonably practicable after such reports are filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Also available on the Company's website are its Corporate Governance Guidelines, its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and the charters for its Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee, each of which is available in print to any stockholder who makes a request to Robert Half International Inc., 2884 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025, Attn: Corporate Secretary. The Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is the Code of Ethics required by Item 406 of Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-K. The Company intends to satisfy any disclosure obligations under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding any amendment or waiver relating to its Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by posting such information on its website.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The Company's business prospects are subject to various risks and uncertainties that impact its business. The most important of these risks and uncertainties are as follows:

The global economic crisis may continue to harm the Company's business and financial condition. The world economy may continue in a prolonged economic downturn characterized by high unemployment, limited availability of credit and decreased consumer and business spending. Given the nature of the Company's business, financial results could be significantly harmed should such a prolonged downturn occur. In the past, the Company's business has suffered during periods of high unemployment as demand for staffing services tends to significantly decrease during such periods. This impact on the Company's business could be further dramatized

given the unprecedented impact it has had and may continue to have on the global labor markets. In addition, the impact of the economic crisis could harm the Company's financial condition. At December 31, 2011, the Company had approximately \$279 million in cash and cash equivalents. The Company has historically invested these amounts in U.S. treasuries and government agencies, bank deposits, corporate debt, money market funds, commercial paper and municipal bonds meeting certain criteria. Certain of these investments are subject to general credit, liquidity, market and interest rate risks. These risks associated with the Company's investment portfolio may negatively impact the Company's financial condition.

Any reduction in global economic activity may harm the Company's business. The demand for the Company's services, in particular its staffing services, is highly dependent upon the state of the economy and upon the staffing needs of the Company's clients. Any variation in the economic condition or unemployment levels of the U.S. or of any of the foreign countries in which the Company does business, or in the economic condition of any region of any of the foregoing, or in any specific industry may severely reduce the demand for the Company's services and thereby significantly decrease the Company's revenues and profits.

The Company's business depends on a strong reputation and anything that harms its reputation will likely harm its results. As a provider of temporary and permanent staffing solutions as well as consultant services, the Company's reputation is dependent upon the performance of the employees it places with its clients and the services rendered by its consultants. If the Company's clients become dissatisfied with the performance of those employees or consultants or if any of those employees or consultants engage in conduct that is harmful to the Company's clients, the Company's ability to maintain or expand its client base may be harmed.

The Company and certain subsidiaries are defendants in several lawsuits alleging various wage and hour related claims that could cause the Company to incur substantial liabilities. The Company and certain subsidiaries are defendants in several actual or asserted class and representative action lawsuits brought by or on behalf of the Company's current and former employees alleging violations of federal and state law with respect to certain wage and hour related matters. The various claims made in one or more of such lawsuits include, among other things, the misclassification of certain employees as exempt employees under applicable law, failure to comply with wage statement requirements and other related wage and hour violations. Such suits seek, as applicable, unspecified amounts for unpaid overtime compensation, penalties, and other damages, as well as attorneys' fees. It is not possible to predict the outcome of these lawsuits. However, these lawsuits may consume substantial amounts of the Company's financial and managerial resources and might result in adverse publicity, regardless of the ultimate outcome of the lawsuits. In addition, the Company and its subsidiaries may become subject to similar lawsuits in the same or other jurisdictions. An unfavorable outcome with respect to these lawsuits and any future lawsuits could, individually or in the aggregate, cause the Company to incur substantial liabilities that may have a material adverse effect upon the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, an unfavorable outcome in one or more of these cases could cause the Company to change its compensation plans for its employees, which could have a material adverse effect upon the Company's business.

The Company faces risks in operating internationally. The Company depends on operations in international markets for a significant portion of its business. These international operations are subject to a number of risks, including general political and economic conditions in those foreign countries, the burden of complying with various foreign laws and technical standards and unpredictable changes in foreign regulations, U.S. legal requirements governing U.S. companies operating in foreign countries, legal and cultural differences in the conduct of business, potential adverse tax consequences and difficulty in staffing and managing international operations. In addition, the Company's business may be affected by foreign currency exchange fluctuations. In particular, the Company is subject to risk in translating its results in foreign currencies into the U.S. dollar. If the value of the U.S. dollar strengthens relative to other currencies, the Company's reported income from these operations could decrease.

The Company may be unable to find sufficient candidates for its staffing business. The Company's staffing services business consists of the placement of individuals seeking employment. There can be no assurance that

candidates for employment will continue to seek employment through the Company. Candidates generally seek temporary or regular positions through multiple sources, including the Company and its competitors. Any shortage of candidates could materially adversely affect the Company.

The Company operates in a highly competitive business and may be unable to retain clients or market share. The staffing services business is highly competitive and, because it is a service business, the barriers to entry are quite low. There are many competitors, some of which have greater resources than the Company, and new competitors are entering the market all the time. In addition, long-term contracts form a negligible portion of the Company's revenue. Therefore, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to retain clients or market share in the future. Nor can there be any assurance that the Company will, in light of competitive pressures, be able to remain profitable or, if profitable, maintain its current profit margins.

The Company may incur potential liability to employees and clients. The Company's temporary services business entails employing individuals on a temporary basis and placing such individuals in clients' workplaces. The Company's ability to control the workplace environment is limited. As the employer of record of its temporary employees, the Company incurs a risk of liability to its temporary employees for various workplace events, including claims of physical injury, discrimination, harassment or failure to protect confidential personal information. While such claims have not historically had a material adverse effect upon the Company, there can be no assurance that such claims in the future will not result in adverse publicity or have a material adverse effect upon the Company. The Company also incurs a risk of liability to its clients resulting from allegations of errors, omissions or theft by its temporary employees, or allegations of misuse of client confidential information. The Company maintains insurance with respect to many of such claims. While such claims have not historically had a material adverse effect upon the Company, there can be no assurance that the Company will continue to be able to obtain insurance at a cost that does not have a material adverse effect upon the Company or that such claims (whether by reason of the Company not having insurance or by reason of such claims being outside the scope of the Company's insurance) will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company.

The Company is dependent on its management personnel and employees and a failure to attract and retain such personnel could harm its business. The Company is engaged in the services business. As such, its success or failure is highly dependent upon the performance of its management personnel and employees, rather than upon technology or upon tangible assets (of which the Company has few). There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to attract and retain the personnel that are essential to its success.

The Company's business is subject to extensive government regulation and a failure to comply with regulations could harm its business. The Company's business is subject to regulation or licensing in many states and in certain foreign countries. While the Company has had no material difficulty complying with regulations in the past, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to continue to obtain all necessary licenses or approvals or that the cost of compliance will not prove to be material. Any inability of the Company to comply with government regulation or licensing requirements could materially adversely affect the Company. In addition, the Company's temporary services business entails employing individuals on a temporary basis and placing such individuals in clients' workplaces. Increased government regulation of the workplace or of the employer-employee relationship, or judicial or administrative proceedings related to such regulation, could materially adversely affect the Company. In addition, to the extent that government regulation imposes increased costs upon the Company, such as unemployment insurance taxes, there can be no assurance that such costs will not adversely impact the Company's profit margins.

Recent health care reform could increase the costs of the Company's temporary staffing operations. The Company may incur additional costs, including, without limitation, increases in the cost of providing temporary employees, as a result of the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 that was signed into law in March 2010. Such an increase in costs could have a material adverse effect on the Company's profit margins or, if the Company increases its charges to clients, upon the demand for the Company's services, which could materially reduce revenues.

The Company's computer and communications hardware and software systems are vulnerable to damage and interruption. The Company's ability to manage its operations successfully is critical to its success and largely depends upon the efficient and uninterrupted operation of its computer and communications hardware and software systems. The Company's primary computer systems and operations are vulnerable to damage or interruption from power outages, computer and telecommunications failures, computer viruses, security breaches, catastrophic events and errors in usage by the Company's employees.

Failure to maintain adequate financial and management processes and controls could lead to errors in the Company's financial reporting. Failure to maintain adequate financial and management processes and controls could lead to errors in the Company's financial reporting. If the Company's management is unable to certify the effectiveness of its internal controls or if its independent registered public accounting firm cannot render an opinion on the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting, or if material weaknesses in the Company's internal controls are identified, the Company could be subject to regulatory scrutiny and a loss of public confidence. In addition, if the Company does not maintain adequate financial and management personnel, processes and controls, it may not be able to accurately report its financial performance on a timely basis, which could cause its stock price to fall.

The demand for the Company's services related to Sarbanes-Oxley or other regulatory compliance may decline. The operations of both the staffing services business and *Protiviti* include services related to Sarbanes-Oxley and other regulatory compliance. There can be no assurance that there will be ongoing demand for these services.

Long-term contracts do not comprise a significant portion of the Company's revenue. Because long-term contracts are not a significant part of the Company's staffing services business, future results cannot be reliably predicted by considering past trends or extrapolating past results.

Protiviti may be unable to attract and retain key personnel. *Protiviti* is a services business, and is dependent upon its ability to attract and retain personnel. While *Protiviti* has retained its key personnel to date, there can be no assurance that it will continue to be able to do so.

Protiviti operates in a highly competitive business and faces competitors who are significantly larger and have more established reputations. *Protiviti* operates in a highly competitive business. As with the Company's staffing services business, the barriers to entry are quite low. There are many competitors, some of which have greater resources than *Protiviti* and many of which have been in operation far longer than *Protiviti*. In particular, *Protiviti* faces competition from the "big four" accounting firms, which have been in operation for a considerable period of time and have established reputations and client bases. Because the principal factors upon which competition is based are reputation, technology, tools, project methodologies, price of services and depth of skills of personnel, there can be no assurance that *Protiviti* will be successful in attracting and retaining clients.

Protiviti's operations could subject it to liability. The business of *Protiviti* consists of providing business consulting and internal audit services. Liability could be incurred or litigation could be instituted against the Company or *Protiviti* for claims related to these activities or to prior transactions or activities. There can be no assurance that such liability or litigation will not have a material adverse impact on *Protiviti* or the Company.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

The Company's headquarters operations are located in Menlo Park and San Ramon, California. As of December 31, 2011, placement activities were conducted through more than 350 offices located in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, Belgium, Brazil, France, the Netherlands, Germany, the Czech Republic, Italy, Luxembourg, Switzerland, Japan, China, Singapore, Australia, New Zealand, Austria, the United Arab

Emirates, and Chile. As of December 31, 2011, *Protiviti* had 60 offices in the United States, Canada, Australia, China, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Japan, Singapore, South Korea, India, the United Kingdom, and Spain. All of the offices are leased.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

On September 10, 2004, Plaintiff Mark Laffitte, on behalf of himself and a putative class of salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers, filed a complaint in California Superior Court naming the Company and three of its wholly owned subsidiaries as Defendants. The complaint alleges that salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers based in California have been misclassified under California law as exempt employees and seeks an unspecified amount for unpaid overtime pay alleged to be due to them had they been paid as non-exempt hourly employees. In addition, the Plaintiff seeks an unspecified amount for statutory penalties for alleged violations of the California Labor Code arising from the alleged misclassification of these employees as exempt employees. On September 18, 2006, the Court issued an order certifying a class with respect to claims for alleged unpaid overtime pay and related statutory penalties but denied certification with respect to claims relating to meal periods and rest time breaks. The Court has stayed the litigation until March 1, 2012. A ruling by the California Supreme Court in a case titled *Pellegrino, et al. v. Robert Half International Inc.*, which, as previously disclosed, the Company does not believe is a material pending legal proceeding, may have a material adverse bearing on the Company's position in this litigation. At this stage of the litigation, it is not feasible to predict the outcome of or a range of loss, should a loss occur, from this proceeding, and accordingly, no amounts have been provided in the Company's financial statements. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the allegations, and the Company intends to continue to vigorously defend against the litigation.

On February 23, 2011, Plaintiff Isabel Apolinario, on behalf of herself and a putative class of salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers, filed a complaint in California Superior Court naming the Company and three of its wholly owned subsidiaries as Defendants. The complaint alleges that salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers based in California have been misclassified under California law as exempt employees and seeks an unspecified amount for unpaid overtime pay alleged to be due to them had they been paid as non-exempt hourly employees. In addition, the Plaintiff seeks an unspecified amount for statutory penalties for alleged violations of the California Labor Code arising from the alleged misclassification of these employees as exempt employees. The Court has stayed this case until March 1, 2012. A ruling by the California Supreme Court in the *Pellegrino* case referenced in the first paragraph of this Item 3 may have a material adverse bearing on the Company's position in this litigation. At this stage of the litigation, it is not feasible to predict the outcome of or a range of loss, should a loss occur, from this proceeding, and accordingly, no amounts have been provided in the Company's financial statements. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the allegations in this case, and the Company intends to continue to vigorously defend against the litigation.

On September 24, 2007, Plaintiff Van Williamson, on behalf of himself and a putative class of salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers, filed a complaint in California Superior Court naming the Company and three of its wholly owned subsidiaries as Defendants. The complaint alleges that salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers based in California were not provided meal periods, paid rest periods, and accurate itemized wage statements. It seeks one hour of wages for each employee for each meal and rest period missed during the statutory liability period. It also seeks an unspecified amount for statutory penalties for alleged violations of the California Labor Code arising from the alleged failure to provide the meal and rest periods and accurate itemized wage statements. The allegations in the complaint are substantially similar to the allegations included in the complaint filed by Mark Laffitte described above. This litigation is stayed pending the California Supreme Court's decision in *Brinker Restaurant Corp. v. Superior Court*, a case unrelated to the Company. A ruling in the *Brinker* case and/or the *Pellegrino* case referenced previously in this Item 3, may have a material adverse bearing on the Company's position in this litigation. At this stage of the litigation, it is not feasible to predict the outcome of or a range of loss, should a loss occur, from this proceeding, and accordingly, no amounts have been provided in the Company's financial statements. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the allegations, and the Company intends to continue to vigorously defend against the litigation.

On April 23, 2010, Plaintiffs David Opalinski and James McCabe, on behalf of themselves and a putative class of similarly situated Staffing Managers, filed a Complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey naming the Company and one of its subsidiaries as Defendants. The Complaint alleges that salaried Staffing Managers located throughout the U.S. have been misclassified as exempt from the Fair Labor Standards Act's overtime pay requirements. Plaintiffs seek an unspecified amount for unpaid overtime on behalf of themselves and the class they purport to represent. Plaintiffs also seek an unspecified amount for statutory penalties, attorneys' fees and other damages. On October 6, 2011, the Court granted the Company's motion to compel arbitration of the Plaintiffs' allegations. At this stage, it is not feasible to predict the outcome of or a range of loss, should a loss occur, from these allegations and, accordingly, no amounts have been provided in the Company's financial statements. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the allegations, and the Company intends to continue to vigorously defend against the allegations.

The Company is involved in a number of other lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business. While management does not expect any of these other matters to have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations, litigation is subject to certain inherent uncertainties.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosure

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Price, Dividends and Related Matters

The Company’s Common Stock is listed for trading on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol “RHI”. On January 31, 2012, there were 3,158 holders of record of the Common Stock.

Following is a list by fiscal quarters of the sales prices of the stock:

<u>2011</u>	Sales Prices	
	High	Low
4th Quarter	\$28.74	\$20.15
3rd Quarter	\$30.16	\$19.69
2nd Quarter	\$31.83	\$25.44
1st Quarter	\$34.26	\$29.09
<u>2010</u>	Sales Prices	
	High	Low
4th Quarter	\$31.04	\$25.05
3rd Quarter	\$26.50	\$21.16
2nd Quarter	\$32.25	\$22.51
1st Quarter	\$31.76	\$25.86

Cash dividends of \$.14 per share were declared and paid in each quarter of 2011. Cash dividends of \$.13 per share were declared and paid in each quarter of 2010.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities


	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under Publicly Announced Plans (b)
October 1, 2011 to October 31, 2011	—	—	—	6,371,841
November 1, 2011 to November 30, 2011	100,000	\$24.11	100,000	6,271,841
December 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011	990,453(a)	\$28.03	186,488	6,085,353
Total October 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011	1,090,453		286,488	

- (a) Includes 803,965 shares repurchased in connection with employee stock plans, whereby Company shares were tendered by employees for the payment of applicable withholding taxes and/or exercise price.
- (b) Commencing in October 1997, the Company’s Board of Directors has, at various times, authorized the repurchase, from time to time, of the Company’s common stock on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions depending on market conditions. Since plan inception, a total of 88,000,000 shares have been authorized for repurchase of which 81,914,647 have been repurchased as of December 31, 2011.

The remainder of the information required by this item is incorporated by reference to Part III, Item 12 of this Form 10-K.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares, through December 31, 2011, the cumulative total return of the Company's Common Stock, an index of certain publicly traded employment services companies, and the S&P 500. The graph assumes the investment of \$100 at the beginning of the period depicted in the chart and reinvestment of all dividends. The information presented in the graph was obtained by the Company from outside sources it considers to be reliable but has not been independently verified by the Company.



- (a) This index represents the cumulative total return of the Company and the following corporations providing temporary or permanent employment services: CDI Corp.; Kelly Services, Inc.; ManpowerGroup; and SFN Group Inc./Randstad Holdings NV. Effective September 2, 2011, SFN Group Inc. was acquired by Netherlands-based Randstad Holdings NV. Accordingly, this index reflects the performance of SFN Group prior to such acquisition and the performance of Randstad Holdings thereafter.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The selected five-year financial data presented below should be read in conjunction with the information contained in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto contained in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
	(in thousands)				
Income Statement Data:					
Net service revenues	\$3,776,976	\$3,175,093	\$3,036,547	\$4,600,554	\$4,645,666
Direct costs of services, consisting of payroll, payroll taxes, insurance costs and reimbursable expenses	2,287,374	1,981,060	1,932,868	2,686,983	2,667,838
Gross margin	1,489,602	1,194,033	1,103,679	1,913,571	1,977,828
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,240,184	1,079,033	1,036,899	1,496,839	1,497,957
Amortization of intangible assets	153	411	1,460	2,617	2,594
Interest income, net	(951)	(579)	(1,443)	(5,161)	(13,127)
Income before income taxes	250,216	115,168	66,763	419,276	490,404
Provision for income taxes	100,294	49,099	29,500	169,095	194,192
Net income	\$ 149,922	\$ 66,069	\$ 37,263	\$ 250,181	\$ 296,212
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 147,772	\$ 63,729	\$ 35,067	\$ 242,744	\$ 288,763

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)				
Net Income Per Share:					
Basic	\$ 1.05	\$.45	\$.24	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.81
Diluted	\$ 1.04	\$.44	\$.24	\$ 1.59	\$ 1.78
Shares:					
Basic	140,479	142,833	145,912	151,607	159,767
Diluted	141,790	144,028	146,611	152,528	162,568
Cash Dividends Declared Per Share	\$.56	\$.52	\$.48	\$.44	\$.40

	December 31,				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
	(in thousands)				
Balance Sheet Data:					
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	\$ 189,473	\$ 190,006	\$ 189,728	\$ 189,382	\$ 195,143
Total assets	\$1,311,836	\$1,273,984	\$1,283,535	\$1,411,850	\$1,450,298
Long-term debt financing	\$ 1,545	\$ 1,656	\$ 1,779	\$ 1,892	\$ 3,753
Stockholders' equity	\$ 800,505	\$ 834,371	\$ 899,810	\$ 983,888	\$ 984,049

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Certain information contained in Management’s Discussion and Analysis and in other parts of this report may be deemed forward-looking statements regarding events and financial trends that may affect the Company’s future operating results or financial positions. These statements may be identified by words such as “estimate”, “forecast”, “project”, “plan”, “intend”, “believe”, “expect”, “anticipate”, or variations or negatives thereof or by similar or comparable words or phrases. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in the statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the following: the global financial and economic situation; changes in levels of unemployment and other economic conditions in the United States or foreign countries where the Company does business, or in particular regions or industries; reduction in the supply of candidates for temporary employment or the Company’s ability to attract candidates; the entry of new competitors into the marketplace or expansion by existing competitors; the ability of the Company to maintain existing client relationships and attract new clients in the context of changing economic or competitive conditions; the impact of competitive pressures, including any change in the demand for the Company’s services, on the Company’s ability to maintain its margins; the possibility of the Company incurring liability for its activities, including the activities of its temporary employees, or for events impacting its temporary employees on clients’ premises; the possibility that adverse publicity could impact the Company’s ability to attract and retain clients and candidates; the success of the Company in attracting, training, and retaining qualified management personnel and other staff employees; the Company’s ability to comply with governmental regulations affecting personnel services businesses in particular or employer/employee relationships in general; whether there will be ongoing demand for Sarbanes-Oxley or other regulatory compliance services; the Company’s reliance on short-term contracts for a significant percentage of its business; litigation relating to prior or current transactions or activities, including litigation that may be disclosed from time to time in the Company’s SEC filings; the ability of the Company to manage its international operations and comply with foreign laws and regulations; the impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates; the possibility that the additional costs the Company will incur as a result of health care reform legislation may have a material adverse effect on the Company’s profit margins or the demand for the Company’s services; the possibility that the additional costs the Company will incur as a result of other government legislation or regulations may have a material adverse effect on the Company’s profit margins or the demand for the Company’s services; the possibility that the Company’s computer and communications hardware and software systems could be damaged or their service interrupted; and the possibility that the Company may fail to maintain adequate financial and management controls and as a result suffer errors in its financial reporting. Additionally, with respect to Protiviti, other risks and uncertainties include the fact that future success will depend on its ability to retain employees and attract clients; there can be no assurance that there will be ongoing demand for Sarbanes-Oxley or other regulatory compliance services; failure to produce projected revenues could adversely affect financial results; and there is the possibility of involvement in litigation relating to prior or current transactions or activities. Because long-term contracts are not a significant part of the Company’s business, future results cannot be reliably predicted by considering past trends or extrapolating past results. Further information regarding these and other risks and uncertainties is contained in Item 1A. “Risk Factors.”

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

As described below, the Company’s most critical accounting policies and estimates are those that involve subjective decisions or assessments.

Accounts Receivable Allowances. The Company maintains allowances for estimated losses resulting from (i) the inability of its customers to make required payments, (ii) temporary placement sales adjustments, and (iii) permanent placement candidates not remaining with the client through the 90-day guarantee period, commonly referred to as “fall offs”. The Company establishes these allowances based on its review of customers’ credit profiles, historical loss statistics and current trends. The adequacy of these allowances is reviewed each reporting period. Historically, the Company’s actual losses and credits have been consistent with these allowances. As a percentage of gross accounts receivable, the Company’s accounts receivable allowances totaled 4.4% and 4.8% as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, a five-

percentage point deviation in the Company's accounts receivable allowances balance would have resulted in an increase or decrease in the allowance of \$1.1 million. Although future results cannot always be predicted by extrapolating past results, management believes that it is reasonably likely that future results will be consistent with historical trends and experience. However, if the financial condition of the Company's customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, or if unexpected events or significant future changes in trends were to occur, additional allowances may be required.

Income Tax Assets and Liabilities. In establishing its deferred income tax assets and liabilities, the Company makes judgments and interpretations based on the enacted tax laws and published tax guidance that are applicable to its operations. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured and recorded using current enacted tax rates, which the Company expects will apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are recovered or settled. The likelihood of a material change in the Company's expected realization of these assets is dependent on future taxable income, its ability to use foreign tax credit carryforwards and carrybacks, final U.S. and foreign tax settlements, and the effectiveness of its tax planning in the various relevant jurisdictions.

The Company also evaluates the need for valuation allowances to reduce the deferred tax assets to realizable amounts. Management evaluates all positive and negative evidence and uses judgment regarding past and future events, including operating results, to help determine when it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax assets may not be realized. When appropriate, a valuation allowance is recorded against deferred tax assets to offset future tax benefits that may not be realized. Valuation allowances of \$36.3 million and \$31.0 million were recorded as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The valuation allowances recorded related primarily to net operating losses in certain foreign operations. If such losses are ultimately utilized to offset future operating income, the Company will recognize a tax benefit up to the full amount of the valuation reserve.

While management believes that its judgments and interpretations regarding income taxes are appropriate, significant differences in actual experience may materially affect the future financial results of the Company.

Goodwill Impairment. The Company assesses the impairment of goodwill annually in the second quarter, or more often if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") authoritative guidance. The Company completed its annual goodwill impairment analysis as of June 30, 2011, and determined that no adjustment to the carrying value of goodwill was required. There were no events or changes in circumstances during the six months ended December 31, 2011 that caused the Company to perform an interim impairment assessment.

FASB authoritative guidance requires a two-step approach for determining goodwill impairment. In the first step the Company determines the fair value of each reporting unit utilizing a present value technique derived from a discounted cash flow methodology. For purposes of this assessment the Company's reporting units are its lines of business. The fair value of the reporting unit is then compared to its carrying value. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to that unit, goodwill is not impaired and no further testing is performed. The second step under the FASB guidance is contingent upon the results of the first step. To the extent a reporting unit's carrying value exceeds its fair value, an indication exists that the reporting unit's goodwill may be impaired and the Company must perform a second more detailed impairment assessment. The second step involves allocating the reporting unit's fair value to its net assets in order to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill as of the assessment date. The implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is then compared to the carrying amount of goodwill to quantify an impairment charge as of the assessment date.

The Company's reporting units are *Accountemps*, *Robert Half Finance & Accounting*, *OfficeTeam*, *Robert Half Technology*, *Robert Half Management Resources* and *Protiviti*, which had goodwill balances at December 31, 2011, of \$127.4 million, \$26.5 million, \$0.0 million, \$7.2 million, \$0.0 million and \$28.3 million,

respectively, totaling \$189.4 million. There were no changes to the Company's reporting units or to the allocations of goodwill by reporting unit for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The goodwill impairment assessment is based upon a discounted cash flow analysis. The estimate of future cash flows is based upon, among other things, a discount rate and certain assumptions about expected future operating performance. The discount rate for all reporting units was determined by management based on estimates of risk free interest rates, beta and market risk premiums. The discount rate used was compared to the rate published in various third party research reports, which indicated that the rate was within a range of reasonableness. The primary assumptions related to future operating performance include revenue growth rates and profitability levels. In addition, the impairment assessment requires that management make certain judgments in allocating shared assets and liabilities to the balance sheets of the reporting units. Solely for purposes of establishing inputs for the fair value calculations described above related to its annual goodwill impairment testing, the Company made the following assumptions. The Company assumed that year-to-date trends through the date of the last assessment would continue for all reporting units through 2011, using unique assumptions for each reporting unit. In addition, the Company applied profitability assumptions consistent with each reporting unit's historical trends at various revenue levels and, for years 2013 and beyond, used a 5% growth factor to calculate the terminal value at the end of ten years for each unit. This rate is comparable to the Company's most recent ten-year annual compound revenue growth rate. In its most recent calculation, the Company used a 9.5% discount rate, which is slightly lower than the 9.6% discount rate used for the Company's test during the second quarter of 2010.

In order to evaluate the sensitivity of the fair value calculations on the goodwill impairment test, the Company applied hypothetical decreases to the fair values of each reporting unit. The Company determined that hypothetical decreases in fair value of at least 70% would be required before any reporting unit would have a carrying value in excess of its fair value.

Given the current economic environment and the uncertainties regarding the impact on the Company's business, there can be no assurance that the Company's estimates and assumptions made for purposes of the Company's goodwill impairment testing will prove to be accurate predictions of the future. If the Company's assumptions regarding forecasted revenue or profitability growth rates of certain reporting units are not achieved, the Company may be required to recognize goodwill impairment charges in future periods. It is not possible at this time to determine if any such future impairment charge would result or, if it does, whether such charge would be material.

Workers' Compensation. Except for states which require participation in state-operated insurance funds, the Company retains the economic burden for the first \$0.5 million per occurrence in workers' compensation claims. Workers' compensation includes ongoing healthcare and indemnity coverage for claims and may be paid over numerous years following the date of injury. Claims in excess of \$0.5 million are insured. Workers' compensation expense includes the insurance premiums for claims in excess of \$0.5 million, claims administration fees charged by the Company's workers' compensation administrator, premiums paid to state-operated insurance funds, and an estimate for the Company's liability for Incurred But Not Reported ("IBNR") claims and for the ongoing development of existing claims. Total workers' compensation expense was \$7.9 million, \$6.6 million and \$5.0 million, representing 0.30%, 0.29% and 0.23% of applicable U.S. revenue for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The reserves for IBNR claims and for the ongoing development of existing claims in each reporting period includes estimates. The Company has established reserves for workers' compensation claims using loss development rates which are estimated using periodic third party actuarial valuations based upon historical loss statistics which include the Company's historical frequency and severity of workers' compensation claims, and an estimate of future cost trends. While management believes that its assumptions and estimates are appropriate, significant differences in actual experience or significant changes in assumptions may materially affect the Company's future results. Based on the Company's results for the year ended December 31, 2011, a five-

percentage point deviation in the Company's estimated loss development rates would have resulted in an increase or decrease in the reserve of \$0.3 million.

Stock-based Compensation. Under various stock plans, officers, employees and outside directors have received or may receive grants of restricted stock, stock units, stock appreciation rights or options to purchase common stock.

Compensation expense for restricted stock and stock units is generally recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, based on the stock's fair market value on the grant date. For restricted stock grants issued with performance conditions, compensation expense is recognized over each vesting tranche. The Company recognizes compensation expense for only the portion of restricted stock and stock units that is expected to vest, rather than record forfeitures when they occur. If the actual number of forfeitures differs from those estimated by management, additional adjustments to compensation expense may be required in future periods. For purposes of calculating stock-based compensation expense for retirement-eligible employees, the service period is assumed to be met on the grant date or retirement-eligible date, whichever is later.

No stock appreciation rights have been granted under the Company's existing stock plans.

The Company determines the fair value of options to purchase common stock using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The Company recognizes expense over the service period for options that are expected to vest and records adjustments to compensation expense at the end of the service period if actual forfeitures differ from original estimates. The Company has not granted any options to purchase common stock since 2006.

For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, compensation expense related to stock options was \$0.0 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, compensation expense related to restricted stock and stock units was \$50.9 million, \$56.9 million and \$60.3 million, respectively, of which \$13.3 million, \$12.2 million and \$12.0 million was related to grants made in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. A one-percentage point deviation in the estimated forfeiture rates would have resulted in a \$0.5 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.6 million increase or decrease in compensation expense related to restricted stock and stock units for each year ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Balance Sheet Disclosures. In December 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance in regards to the presentation of netting assets and liabilities as a single amount in the statement of financial position to address the difference between GAAP and international financial reporting standards ("IFRS"). This authoritative guidance is to be applied for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its Financial Statements.

Testing Goodwill for Impairment. In August 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance which is intended to simplify how entities test goodwill for impairment by permitting an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is "more likely than not" that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. This authoritative guidance is to be applied prospectively and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its Financial Statements.

Comprehensive Income. In June 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance which allows an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This authoritative guidance eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholder's equity. This authoritative guidance is

to be applied retrospectively and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Except for presentation requirements, the Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its Financial Statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance to defer only those changes related to the presentation of reclassification adjustments. The amendments are being made to allow the FASB time to reconsider whether to present on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income for all periods presented. This authoritative guidance is to be applied prospectively and is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its Financial Statements.

Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. In May 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in GAAP and IFRS. The amendments explain how to measure fair value and will improve the comparability of fair value measurement presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP and IFRS. This authoritative guidance is to be applied prospectively and is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its Financial Statements.

Business Combinations. In December 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance which specifies that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combinations that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. Furthermore, this guidance expands the supplemental pro forma disclosure requirement. This authoritative guidance was effective prospectively for business combinations with an acquisition date on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance during the three months ended March 31, 2011, did not have an impact on the Company's Financial Statements.

Revenue Recognition—Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements. In October 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance which addresses the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements to enable vendors to account for products or services (deliverables) separately rather than as a combined unit. This guidance establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable. This guidance also eliminates the residual method of allocation and requires that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method. Furthermore, this guidance significantly expands required disclosures related to a vendor's multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. This authoritative guidance was effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance during the three months ended March 31, 2011, did not have an impact on the Company's Financial Statements.

Results of Operations

Demand for the Company's temporary and permanent staffing services and risk consulting and internal audit services is largely dependent upon general economic and labor market conditions both domestically and abroad. Correspondingly, results of operations were positively impacted by improving global economic conditions during 2011. Because of the inherent difficulty in predicting economic trends and the absence of material long-term contracts in any of our business units, future demand for the Company's services cannot be forecasted with certainty. We expect total Company results to continue to be impacted by general macroeconomic conditions in 2012.

The Company's temporary and permanent staffing services business has more than 350 offices in 42 states, the District of Columbia and 19 foreign countries, while Protiviti has 60 offices in 23 states and 13 foreign countries.

Because fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates have an impact on the Company's results, the Company provides selected growth percentages below on a constant-currency basis. Constant-currency percentages are calculated using as-reported amounts which have been retranslated using foreign currency exchange rates from the prior year's comparable period.

Years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

Revenues. The Company's revenues were \$3.8 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 19% from \$3.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010. Revenues from foreign operations represented 30% of total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to 29% of total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company analyzes its revenues for three reportable segments: temporary and consultant staffing, permanent placement staffing and risk consulting and internal audit services. In 2011, revenues for all three of the Company's reportable segments increased compared to 2010. Contributing factors for each reportable segment are discussed below in further detail.

Temporary and consultant staffing services revenues were \$3.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 19% from revenues of \$2.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010. On a constant-currency basis, temporary and consultant staffing services revenues increased 17% for 2011 compared to 2010. In the United States, 2011 revenues increased 17% compared to 2010. Although unemployment rates in the United States remained high for the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company experienced an increase in demand for its temporary and consulting services during the year. This is consistent with prior post recession periods where we believe clients seek to keep their labor costs as variable as possible. The Company's revenues from foreign operations increased 25% for 2011 compared to 2010. On a constant-currency basis, the Company's revenues from foreign operations increased 18% for 2011 compared to 2010.

Permanent placement revenues were \$302 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 37% from revenues of \$221 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. On a constant-currency basis, permanent placement revenues increased 33% for 2011 compared to 2010. In the United States, 2011 revenues increased 42% compared to 2010. Although unemployment rates in the United States remained high throughout 2011, the Company experienced an increase in demand for its permanent placement services during this period. The Company believes this demand was bolstered by clients who had previously made deep personnel cuts and needed to reinstate a portion of their workforce as business conditions improved. The Company's revenues from foreign operations increased 31% for 2011 compared to 2010. On a constant-currency basis, the Company's revenues from foreign operations increased 25% for 2011 compared to 2010.

Risk consulting and internal audit services revenues were \$424 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 10% from revenues of \$386 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. On a constant-currency basis, risk consulting and internal audit services revenues increased 8% for 2011 compared to 2010. In the United States, 2011 revenues increased 12% compared to 2010. The Company's revenues from foreign operations increased 4% for 2011 compared to 2010. On a constant-currency basis, the Company's revenues from foreign operations decreased 1% for 2011 compared to 2010.

Gross Margin. The Company's gross margin dollars were \$1.5 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 25% from \$1.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010. For 2011 compared to 2010, gross margin dollars for all three of the Company's reportable segments increased. Gross margin dollars as a percentage of revenues increased for the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services and risk consulting and internal audit services segments on a year-over-year basis. Contributing factors for each reportable segment are discussed below in further detail.

Gross margin dollars from the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services represent revenues less direct costs of services, which consist of payroll, payroll taxes and insurance costs for temporary employees, and reimbursable expenses. Gross margin dollars for the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services division were \$1.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 23% from \$876 million for the year ended

December 31, 2010. On a constant-currency basis, temporary and consultant staffing services gross margin dollars increased 21% for 2011 compared to 2010. As a percentage of revenues, gross margin dollars for temporary and consultant staffing services were 35.2% for 2011, up from 34.1% in 2010.

Gross margin dollars from permanent placement staffing services represent revenues less reimbursable expenses. Gross margin dollars for the Company's permanent placement staffing division were \$302 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 37% from \$221 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. On a constant-currency basis, permanent placement gross margin dollars increased 33% for 2011 compared to 2010. Because reimbursable expenses for permanent placement staffing services are de minimis, the increase in gross margin dollars is substantially explained by the increase in revenues previously discussed.

Gross margin dollars for risk consulting and internal audit services represent revenues less direct costs of services, which consist primarily of professional staff payroll, payroll taxes, insurance costs and reimbursable expenses. Gross margin dollars for the Company's risk consulting and internal audit division were \$114 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 17% from \$97 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. On a constant-currency basis, risk consulting and internal audit gross margin dollars increased 16% for 2011 compared to 2010. As a percentage of revenues, gross margin dollars for risk consulting and internal audit services were 26.8% in 2011, up from 25.2% in 2010. The year-over-year margin increase is primarily due to higher staff utilization levels.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. The Company's selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of staff compensation, advertising, depreciation and occupancy costs. The Company's selling, general and administrative expenses were \$1.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 15% from \$1.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010. As a percentage of revenues, the Company's selling, general and administrative expenses were 32.8% for 2011, down from 34.0% for 2010. For 2011 compared to 2010, selling, general and administrative expenses for all three of the Company's reportable segments increased. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased for all three of the Company's reportable segments in 2011 compared to 2010. Contributing factors for each reportable segment are discussed below in further detail.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services division were \$865 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 13% from \$767 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. As a percentage of revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses for temporary and consultant staffing services were 28.3% in 2011, down from 29.9% in 2010. For 2011 compared to 2010, improved leverage in selling, general and administrative expenses drove the overall decrease as a percentage of revenues.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the Company's permanent placement staffing division were \$267 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 31% from \$204 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. As a percentage of revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses for permanent placement staffing services were 88.2% in 2011, down from 92.0% in 2010. For 2011 compared to 2010, the decreases as a percentage of revenues for variable and fixed overhead were partially offset by increases as a percentage of revenues for field compensation.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the Company's risk consulting and internal audit services division were \$109 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, up slightly from \$108 million for the year ended December 31, 2010. As a percentage of revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses for risk consulting and internal audit services were 25.6% in 2011, down from 28.1% in 2010. For 2011 compared to 2010, improved leverage in selling, general and administrative expenses drove the overall decrease as a percentage of revenues.

Operating Income. The Company's total operating income was \$249 million, or 6.6% of revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2011, up 117% from \$115 million, or 3.6% of revenues, for the year ended

December 31, 2010. For the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services division, operating income was \$209 million, or 6.9% of applicable revenues, up 93% from \$108 million, or 4.2% of applicable revenues, in 2010. For the Company's permanent placement staffing division, operating income was \$35 million, or 11.7% of applicable revenues, up 102% from operating income of \$18 million, or 7.9% of applicable revenues, in 2010. For the Company's risk consulting and internal audit services division, operating income was \$5 million, or 1.2% of applicable revenues, up 145% from operating loss of \$11 million, or negative 2.8% of applicable revenues, in 2010.

Provision for income taxes. The provision for income taxes was 40% and 43% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The 2011 decrease is due to proportionately lower foreign taxes on overall higher earnings and the diminishing impact of permanent non-deductible tax items, which became increasingly less significant relative to the Company's improved financial results.

Years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

Revenues. The Company's revenues were \$3.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 5% from \$3.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009. Revenues from foreign operations represented 29% of total revenues for both the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. The Company analyzes its revenues for three reportable segments: temporary and consultant staffing, permanent placement staffing and risk consulting and internal audit services. In 2010, revenues for all three of the Company's reportable segments were up compared to 2009. Contributing factors for each reportable segment are discussed below in further detail.

Temporary and consultant staffing services revenues were \$2.6 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 4% from revenues of \$2.5 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009. On a constant-currency basis, temporary and consultant staffing services revenues increased 3% for 2010 compared to 2009. In the United States, 2010 revenues increased 4% compared to 2009. Although unemployment rates in the United States remained high for the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company experienced an increase in demand for its temporary and consulting services during the year. This is consistent with prior post recession periods where we believe clients seek to keep their labor costs as variable as possible. The Company's revenues from foreign operations increased 4% for 2010 compared to 2009. On a constant-currency basis, the Company's revenues from foreign operations increased 2% for 2010 compared to 2009.

Permanent placement revenues were \$221 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 22% from revenues of \$182 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. On a constant-currency basis, permanent placement revenues increased 19% for 2010 compared to 2009. In the United States, 2010 revenues increased 22% compared to 2009. Although unemployment rates in the United States remained high throughout 2010, the Company experienced an increase in demand for its permanent placement services during this period. The Company believes this demand was bolstered by clients who had previously made deep personnel cuts and needed to reinstate a portion of their workforce at the first sign of improving business conditions. The Company's revenues from foreign operations increased 22% for 2010 compared to 2009. On a constant-currency basis, the Company's revenues from foreign operations increased 17% for 2010 compared to 2009.

Risk consulting and internal audit services revenues were \$386 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 1% from revenues of \$384 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. On a constant-currency basis, risk consulting and internal audit services revenues remained flat for 2010 compared to 2009. In the United States, 2010 revenues increased 3% compared to 2009. The Company's revenues from foreign operations decreased 5% for 2010 compared to 2009. On a constant-currency basis, the Company's revenues from foreign operations decreased 7% for 2010 compared to 2009.

Gross Margin. The Company's gross margin dollars were \$1.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 8% from \$1.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009. For 2010 compared to 2009, gross margin dollars for all three of the Company's reportable segments increased. Gross margin dollars as a percentage of

revenues increased for the Company's risk consulting and internal audit services segment and remained flat for the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services segment on a year-over-year basis. Contributing factors for each reportable segment are discussed below in further detail.

Gross margin dollars from the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services represent revenues less direct costs of services, which consist of payroll, payroll taxes and insurance costs for temporary employees, and reimbursable expenses. Gross margin dollars for the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services division were \$876 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 4% from \$842 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. On a constant-currency basis, temporary and consultant staffing services gross margin dollars increased 3% for 2010 compared to 2009. As a percentage of revenues, gross margin dollars for temporary and consultant staffing services were 34.1% for 2010 and 2009.

Gross margin dollars from permanent placement staffing services represent revenues less reimbursable expenses. Gross margin dollars for the Company's permanent placement staffing division were \$221 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 22% from \$182 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. On a constant-currency basis, permanent placement gross margin dollars increased 20% for 2010 compared to 2009. Because reimbursable expenses for permanent placement staffing services are de minimis, the increase in gross margin dollars is substantially explained by the increase in revenues previously discussed.

Gross margin dollars for risk consulting and internal audit services represent revenues less direct costs of services, which consist primarily of professional staff payroll, payroll taxes, insurance costs and reimbursable expenses. Gross margin dollars for the Company's risk consulting and internal audit division were \$97 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 22% from \$80 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. On a constant-currency basis, risk consulting and internal audit gross margin dollars increased 21% for 2010 compared to 2009. As a percentage of revenues, gross margin dollars for risk consulting and internal audit services were 25.2% in 2010, up from 20.8% in 2009. The year-over-year margin increase is primarily due to higher staff utilization levels and lower compensation costs.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. The Company's selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of staff compensation, advertising, depreciation and occupancy costs. The Company's selling, general and administrative expenses were \$1.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 4% from \$1.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009. As a percentage of revenues, the Company's selling, general and administrative expenses were 34.0% for 2010, down from 34.1% for 2009. For 2010 compared to 2009, selling, general and administrative expenses increased for the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services and permanent placement services segments and decreased for the Company's risk consulting and internal audit services segment. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues increased slightly for the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services segment and decreased for the Company's permanent placement staffing services and risk consulting and internal audit services segments in 2010 compared to 2009. Contributing factors for each reportable segment are discussed below in further detail.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services division were \$767 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 4% from \$738 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. As a percentage of revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses for temporary and consultant staffing services were 29.9% in 2010, up from 29.8% in 2009. For 2010 compared to 2009, a slight increase as a percentage of revenues for variable overhead was partially offset by a decrease as a percentage of revenues for fixed overhead.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the Company's permanent placement staffing division were \$204 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 8% from \$189 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. As a percentage of revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses for permanent placement staffing services were 92.0% in 2010, down from 103.7% in 2009. For 2010 compared to 2009, improved leverage in selling, general and administrative expenses drove the overall decrease as a percentage of revenues.

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the Company's risk consulting and internal audit services division were \$108 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, down 2% from \$111 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. As a percentage of revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses for risk consulting and internal audit services were 28.1% in 2010, down from 28.9% in 2009. For 2010 compared to 2009, a decrease as a percentage of revenues for fixed overhead was partially offset by an increase as a percentage of revenues for variable overhead.

Operating Income. The Company's total operating income was \$115 million, or 3.6% of revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2010, up 72% from \$67 million, or 2.2% of revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2009. For the Company's temporary and consultant staffing services division, operating income was \$108 million, or 4.2% of applicable revenues, up 4% from \$105 million, or 4.2% of applicable revenues, in 2009. For the Company's permanent placement staffing division, operating income was \$18 million, or 7.9% of applicable revenues, up 351% from operating loss of \$7 million, or negative 3.8% of applicable revenues, in 2009. For the Company's risk consulting and internal audit services division, operating loss was \$11 million, or negative 2.8% of applicable revenues, up 64% from an operating loss of \$31 million, or negative 8.0% of applicable revenues, in 2009.

Provision for income taxes. The provision for income taxes was 43% and 44% for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The 2010 decrease is due to increased state income tax credits the Company claimed in 2010 and the diminishing impact of permanent non-deductible tax items, which became increasingly less significant relative to the Company's improved financial results.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The change in the Company's liquidity during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, is primarily the net effect of funds generated by operations and the funds used for capital expenditures, repurchases of common stock and payment of dividends.

Cash and cash equivalents were \$279 million, \$315 million and \$366 million at December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Operating activities provided \$256 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, partially offset by \$63 million and \$226 million of net cash used in investing activities and financing activities, respectively. Operating activities provided \$176 million during the year ended December 31, 2010, partially offset by \$39 million and \$188 million of net cash used in investing activities and financing activities, respectively. Operating activities provided \$240 million during the year ended December 31, 2009, offset by \$46 million and \$192 million of net cash used in investing activities and financing activities, respectively.

Operating activities—Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2011, was composed of net income of \$150 million adjusted for non-cash items of \$122 million, and net cash used by changes in working capital of \$16 million. Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2010, was composed of net income of \$66 million adjusted for non-cash items of \$122 million, and net cash used in changes in working capital of \$12 million. Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2009, was composed of net income of \$37 million adjusted for non-cash items of \$127 million, and net cash provided by changes in working capital of \$76 million.

Investing activities—Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2011, was \$63 million. This was primarily composed of capital expenditures of \$57 million and deposits to trusts for employee benefits and retirement plans of \$7 million. Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2010, was \$39 million. This was primarily composed of capital expenditures of \$35 million and deposits to trusts for employee benefits and retirement plans of \$3 million. Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$46 million. This was primarily composed of capital expenditures of \$41 million and deposits to trusts for employee benefits and retirement plans of \$5 million.

Financing activities—Cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2011, was \$226 million. This included repurchases of \$168 million in common stock and \$80 million in cash dividends to stockholders, offset by proceeds of \$18 million from exercises of stock options and the excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation of \$4 million. Cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2010, was \$188 million. This included repurchases of \$155 million in common stock and \$77 million in cash dividends to stockholders, offset by proceeds of \$38 million from exercises of stock options and the excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation of \$6 million. Cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2009, was \$192 million. This included repurchases of \$150 million in common stock, \$72 million in cash dividends to stockholders, offset by proceeds of \$25 million from exercises of stock options and the excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation of \$5 million.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company is authorized to repurchase, from time to time, up to 6.1 million additional shares of the Company's common stock on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, depending on market conditions. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, the Company repurchased approximately 5.3 million shares, 3.7 million shares and 4.7 million shares of common stock on the open market for a total cost of \$142 million, \$96 million and \$110 million, respectively. Additional stock repurchases were made in connection with employee stock plans, whereby Company shares were tendered by employees for the payment of exercise price and applicable statutory withholding taxes. During the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, such repurchases totaled approximately 1.0 million shares, 2.1 million shares and 1.7 million shares at a cost of \$29 million, \$59 million and \$40 million, respectively. Repurchases of shares have been funded with cash generated from operations.

The Company's working capital at December 31, 2011, included \$279 million in cash and cash equivalents. The Company expects that internally generated cash will be sufficient to support the working capital needs of the Company, the Company's fixed payments, dividends, and other obligations on both a short- and long-term basis.

On February 8, 2012, the Company announced a quarterly dividend of \$.15 per share to be paid to all shareholders of record on February 24, 2012. The dividend will be paid on March 15, 2012.

The Company's cash flows generated from operations are also the primary source for funding various contractual obligations. The table below summarizes the Company's major commitments as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Payments due by period				
	2012	2013 and 2014	2015 and 2016	Thereafter	Total
Long-term debt obligations	\$ 256	\$ 505	\$ 505	\$ 1,261	\$ 2,527
Operating lease obligations	94,715	139,740	82,101	109,982	426,538
Purchase obligations	23,497	5,211	32	—	28,740
Other liabilities	2,174	1,534	893	10,343	14,944
Total	\$120,642	\$146,990	\$83,531	\$121,586	\$472,749

Long-term debt obligations consist of promissory notes and related interest as well as other forms of indebtedness issued in connection with certain acquisitions and other payment obligations. Operating lease obligations consist of minimum rental commitments for 2012 and thereafter under non-cancelable leases in effect at December 31, 2011. Purchase obligations consist of purchase commitments primarily related to telecom service agreements, software licenses and subscriptions, and computer hardware and software maintenance agreements.

The above table does not reflect \$11.7 million of gross unrecognized tax benefits which the Company has accrued for uncertain tax positions in accordance with FASB authoritative guidance. As of December 31, 2011,

the Company classified \$4.9 million of its unrecognized tax benefits as a current liability, as these amounts are expected to be resolved in the next twelve months. The remaining \$6.8 million of unrecognized tax benefits have been classified as a non-current liability, as a reasonably reliable estimate of the period of future payments, if any, could not be determined.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company is exposed to the impact of foreign currency fluctuations. The Company's exposure to foreign currency exchange rates relates primarily to the Company's foreign subsidiaries. Exchange rates impact the U.S. dollar value of the Company's reported earnings, investments in its foreign subsidiaries, and the intercompany transactions with its foreign subsidiaries.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, approximately 30% of the Company's revenues were generated outside of the United States. These operations transact business in their functional currency. As a result, fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar have an impact on the Company's reported results. Revenues and expenses denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars at the monthly average exchange rates prevailing during the period. Consequently, as the value of the U.S. dollar changes relative to the currencies of the Company's non-U.S. markets, the Company's reported results vary.

Fluctuations in currency exchange rates impact the U.S. dollar amount of the Company's stockholders' equity. The assets and liabilities of the Company's non-U.S. subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rates in effect at period end. The resulting translation adjustments are recorded in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

ROBERT HALF INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION
(in thousands, except share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 279,336	\$ 315,137
Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$22,627 and \$21,569	493,327	423,175
Current deferred income taxes	88,578	92,087
Other current assets	145,437	141,461
Total current assets	1,006,678	971,860
Goodwill	189,423	189,787
Other intangible assets, net	50	219
Property and equipment, net	107,972	103,651
Other assets	7,713	8,467
Total assets	\$1,311,836	\$1,273,984
LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 117,596	\$ 93,690
Accrued payroll costs and retirement obligations	355,294	314,647
Current portion of notes payable and other indebtedness	111	123
Total current liabilities	473,001	408,460
Notes payable and other indebtedness, less current portion	1,545	1,656
Other liabilities	36,785	29,497
Total liabilities	511,331	439,613
Commitments and Contingencies (Note I)		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value authorized 5,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding zero shares	—	—
Common stock, \$.001 par value authorized 260,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 142,085,533 and 146,182,564 shares	142	146
Capital surplus	759,476	787,105
Accumulated other comprehensive income	40,887	47,120
Retained earnings	—	—
Total stockholders' equity	800,505	834,371
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,311,836	\$1,273,984

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
are an integral part of these financial statements.

ROBERT HALF INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net service revenues	\$3,776,976	\$3,175,093	\$3,036,547
Direct costs of services, consisting of payroll, payroll taxes, insurance costs and reimbursable expenses	2,287,374	1,981,060	1,932,868
Gross margin	1,489,602	1,194,033	1,103,679
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,240,184	1,079,033	1,036,899
Amortization of intangible assets	153	411	1,460
Interest income, net	(951)	(579)	(1,443)
Income before income taxes	250,216	115,168	66,763
Provision for income taxes	100,294	49,099	29,500
Net income	<u>\$ 149,922</u>	<u>\$ 66,069</u>	<u>\$ 37,263</u>
Net income available to common stockholders - diluted	<u>\$ 147,772</u>	<u>\$ 63,729</u>	<u>\$ 35,067</u>
Net income per share (Note L):			
Basic	\$ 1.05	\$.45	\$.24
Diluted	\$ 1.04	\$.44	\$.24
Shares:			
Basic	140,479	142,833	145,912
Diluted	141,790	144,028	146,611
Cash dividends declared per share	\$.56	\$.52	\$.48

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
are an integral part of these financial statements.

ROBERT HALF INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
COMMON STOCK—SHARES:			
Balance at beginning of period	146,183	148,645	150,943
Net issuances of restricted stock	1,425	1,574	2,400
Repurchases of common stock	(6,328)	(5,762)	(6,398)
Exercises of stock options	806	1,726	1,700
Balance at end of period	<u>142,086</u>	<u>146,183</u>	<u>148,645</u>
COMMON STOCK—PAR VALUE:			
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 146	\$ 149	\$ 151
Net issuances of restricted stock	1	1	2
Repurchases of common stock	(6)	(6)	(6)
Exercises of stock options	1	2	2
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 142</u>	<u>\$ 146</u>	<u>\$ 149</u>
CAPITAL SURPLUS:			
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 787,105	\$ 854,081	\$ 949,474
Net issuances of restricted stock at par value	(1)	(1)	(2)
Repurchases of common stock—excess over par value	(20,641)	(103,153)	(112,549)
Cash dividends (\$.56 per share, \$.52 per share and \$.48 per share)	(81,024)	(63,147)	(72,459)
Exercises of stock options—excess over par value	18,308	38,353	24,949
Stock-based compensation expense	50,906	57,119	61,148
Tax impact of equity incentive plans	4,823	3,853	3,520
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 759,476</u>	<u>\$ 787,105</u>	<u>\$ 854,081</u>
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME:			
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 47,120	\$ 45,580	\$ 34,263
Translation adjustments	(6,233)	1,540	11,317
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 40,887</u>	<u>\$ 47,120</u>	<u>\$ 45,580</u>
RETAINED EARNINGS:			
Balance at beginning of period	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Repurchases of common stock—excess over par value	(149,922)	(52,301)	(37,263)
Cash dividends (\$.52 per share)	—	(13,768)	—
Net income	149,922	66,069	37,263
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME:			
Net income	\$ 149,922	\$ 66,069	\$ 37,263
Translation adjustments	(6,233)	1,540	11,317
Total comprehensive income	<u>\$ 143,689</u>	<u>\$ 67,609</u>	<u>\$ 48,580</u>

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
are an integral part of these financial statements.

ROBERT HALF INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 149,922	\$ 66,069	\$ 37,263
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Amortization of intangible assets	153	411	1,460
Depreciation expense	51,262	55,547	63,806
Stock-based compensation expense—restricted stock and stock units	50,906	56,949	60,320
Stock-based compensation expense—stock options	—	170	828
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(4,211)	(5,814)	(4,751)
Provision for deferred income taxes	17,156	7,370	5,752
Provision for doubtful accounts receivable	6,673	6,795	(839)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:			
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	(81,314)	(68,008)	133,541
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable, accrued expenses, accrued payroll costs and retirement obligations	64,932	41,626	(52,210)
Increase (decrease) in income taxes payable	6,368	1,490	(3,830)
Change in other assets, net of change in other liabilities	(5,531)	13,278	(1,187)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	<u>256,316</u>	<u>175,883</u>	<u>240,153</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Payment for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	—	(585)	—
Capital expenditures	(56,535)	(35,088)	(41,248)
Increase in trusts for employee benefits and retirement plans	(6,867)	(3,424)	(4,719)
Net cash flows used in investing activities	<u>(63,402)</u>	<u>(39,097)</u>	<u>(45,967)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Repurchases of common stock	(168,103)	(155,460)	(149,818)
Cash dividends paid	(80,303)	(76,591)	(72,149)
Decrease in notes payable and other indebtedness	(91)	(113)	(105)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	4,211	5,814	4,751
Proceeds from exercises of stock options	18,309	38,355	24,951
Net cash flows used in financing activities	<u>(225,977)</u>	<u>(187,995)</u>	<u>(192,370)</u>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	<u>(2,738)</u>	<u>552</u>	<u>9,222</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(35,801)	(50,657)	11,038
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	315,137	365,794	354,756
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 279,336</u>	<u>\$ 315,137</u>	<u>\$ 365,794</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest	\$ 536	\$ 599	\$ 441
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 76,422	\$ 38,249	\$ 21,759
Non-cash items:			
Stock repurchases awaiting settlement	\$ 2,466	\$ —	\$ —

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note A—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations. Robert Half International Inc. (the “Company”) provides specialized staffing and risk consulting services through such divisions as *Accountemps*®, *Robert Half*® *Finance & Accounting*, *OfficeTeam*®, *Robert Half*® *Technology*, *Robert Half*® *Management Resources*, *Robert Half*® *Legal*, *The Creative Group*®, and *Protiviti*®. The Company, through its *Accountemps*, *Robert Half Finance & Accounting*, and *Robert Half Management Resources* divisions, is a specialized provider of temporary, full-time, and project professionals in the fields of accounting and finance. *OfficeTeam* specializes in highly skilled temporary administrative support personnel. *Robert Half Technology* provides information technology professionals. *Robert Half Legal* provides temporary, project, and full-time staffing of attorneys and specialized support personnel within law firms and corporate legal departments. *The Creative Group* provides project staffing in the advertising, marketing, and web design fields. *Protiviti* provides business consulting and internal audit services, and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. Revenues are predominantly derived from specialized staffing services. The Company operates in North America, South America, Europe, Asia and Australia. The Company is a Delaware corporation.

Basis of Presentation. The Consolidated Financial Statements (“Financial Statements”) of the Company are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) and the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”).

Principles of Consolidation. The Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly-owned. All intercompany balances have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. As of December 31, 2011, such estimates included allowances for uncollectible accounts receivable, workers’ compensation losses and income and other taxes. Management estimates are also utilized in the Company’s goodwill impairment assessment.

Revenue Recognition. The Company derives its revenues from three segments: temporary and consultant staffing, permanent placement staffing, and risk consulting and internal audit services. Net service revenues as presented on the Consolidated Statements of Operations represent services rendered to customers less sales adjustments and allowances. Reimbursements, including those related to travel and out-of-pocket expenses, are also included in net service revenues, and equivalent amounts of reimbursable expenses are included in direct costs of services. The Company records revenue on a gross basis as a principal versus on a net basis as an agent in the presentation of revenues and expenses. The Company has concluded that gross reporting is appropriate because the Company (i) has the risk of identifying and hiring qualified employees, (ii) has the discretion to select the employees and establish their price and duties and (iii) bears the risk for services that are not fully paid for by customers.

Temporary and consultant staffing revenues—Temporary and consultant staffing revenues are recognized when the services are rendered by the Company’s temporary employees. Employees placed on temporary assignment by the Company are the Company’s legal employees while they are working on assignments. The Company pays all related costs of employment, including workers’ compensation insurance, state and federal unemployment taxes, social security and certain fringe benefits. The Company assumes the risk of acceptability of its employees to its customers.

Permanent placement staffing revenues—Permanent placement staffing revenues are recognized when employment candidates accept offers of permanent employment. The Company has a substantial history of

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note A—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

estimating the effect of permanent placement candidates who do not remain with its clients through the 90-day guarantee period. Allowances are established to estimate these losses. Fees to clients are generally calculated as a percentage of the new employee's annual compensation. No fees for permanent placement services are charged to employment candidates.

Risk consulting and internal audit revenues—Risk consulting and internal audit services are generally provided on a time-and-material basis or fixed-fee basis. Revenues earned under time-and-material arrangements are recognized as services are provided. Revenues on fixed-fee arrangements are recognized using a proportional performance method as hours are incurred relative to total estimated hours for the engagement. The Company periodically evaluates the need to provide for any losses on these projects, and losses are recognized when it is probable that a loss will be incurred.

Costs of Services. Direct costs of temporary and consultant staffing services consist of payroll, payroll taxes and insurance costs for the Company's temporary employees, as well as reimbursable expenses. Direct costs of permanent placement staffing services consist of reimbursable expenses. Risk consulting and internal audit costs of services include professional staff payroll, payroll taxes and insurance costs, as well as reimbursable expenses.

Advertising Costs. The Company expenses all advertising costs as incurred. Advertising costs for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, are reflected in the following table (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Advertising Costs	\$42,728	\$37,941	\$32,242

Comprehensive Income. Comprehensive income includes net income and certain other items that are recorded directly to Stockholders' Equity. The Company's only source of other comprehensive income is foreign currency translation adjustments.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. The Company does not have any financial instruments which require re-measurement to fair value. The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses represent fair value based upon their short-term nature.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity at the date of purchase of three months or less as cash equivalents.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets. Goodwill and intangible assets primarily consist of the cost of acquired companies in excess of the fair market value of their net tangible assets at the date of acquisition. Identifiable intangible assets are amortized over their lives, typically ranging from two to five years. Goodwill is not amortized, but is tested at least annually for impairment. The Company completed its annual goodwill impairment analysis as of June 30 in each of the three years ended December 31, 2011, and determined that no adjustment to the carrying value of goodwill was required.

Income Tax Assets and Liabilities. In establishing its deferred income tax assets and liabilities, the Company makes judgments and interpretations based on the enacted tax laws and published tax guidance that are applicable to its operations. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured and recorded using current enacted tax rates, which the Company expects will apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are recovered or settled. The likelihood of a material change in the Company's expected realization

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note A—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

of these assets is dependent on future taxable income, its ability to use foreign tax credit carryforwards and carrybacks, final U.S. and foreign tax settlements, and the effectiveness of its tax planning strategies in the various relevant jurisdictions.

Workers' Compensation. Except for states which require participation in state-operated insurance funds, the Company retains the economic burden for the first \$0.5 million per occurrence in workers' compensation claims. Workers' compensation includes ongoing healthcare and indemnity coverage for claims and may be paid over numerous years following the date of injury. Claims in excess of \$0.5 million are insured. Workers' compensation expense includes the insurance premiums for claims in excess of \$0.5 million, claims administration fees charged by the Company's workers' compensation administrator, premiums paid to state-operated insurance funds, and an estimate for the Company's liability for Incurred But Not Reported ("IBNR") claims and for the ongoing development of existing claims.

The accrual for IBNR claims and for the ongoing development of existing claims in each reporting period includes estimates. The Company has established reserves for workers' compensation claims using loss development rates which are estimated using periodic third party actuarial valuations based upon historical loss statistics which include the Company's historical frequency and severity of workers' compensation claims, and an estimate of future cost trends. While management believes that its assumptions and estimates are appropriate, significant differences in actual experience or significant changes in assumptions may materially affect the Company's future results.

Foreign Currency Translation. The results of operations of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are translated at the monthly average exchange rates prevailing during the period. The financial position of the Company's foreign subsidiaries is translated at the current exchange rates at the end of the period, and the related translation adjustments are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income within Stockholders' Equity. Gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are included as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, and have not been material for all periods presented.

Stock-based Compensation. Under various stock plans, officers, employees and outside directors have received or may receive grants of restricted stock, stock units, stock appreciation rights or options to purchase common stock.

Compensation expense for restricted stock and stock units is generally recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, based on the stock's fair market value on the grant date. For restricted stock grants issued with performance conditions, compensation expense is recognized over each vesting tranche. The Company recognizes compensation expense for only the portion of restricted stock and stock units that is expected to vest, rather than record forfeitures when they occur. If the actual number of forfeitures differs from those estimated by management, additional adjustments to compensation expense may be required in future periods. For purposes of calculating stock-based compensation expense for retirement-eligible employees, the service period is assumed to be met on the grant date or retirement-eligible date, whichever is later.

No stock appreciation rights have been granted under the Company's existing stock plans.

The Company determines the fair value of options to purchase common stock using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The Company recognizes expense over the service period for options that are expected to vest and records adjustments to compensation expense at the end of the service period if actual forfeitures differ from original estimates. The Company has not granted any options to purchase common stock since 2006.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note A—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Property and Equipment. Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation expense is computed using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Computer hardware	2 to 3 years
Computer software	2 to 5 years
Furniture and equipment	5 years
Leasehold improvements	Term of lease, 5 years maximum

Internal-use Software. The Company capitalizes direct costs incurred in the development of internal-use software. Amounts capitalized are reported as a component of computer software within property and equipment. Internal-use software development costs capitalized for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, are reflected in the following table (in thousands):

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Internal-use software development costs	\$18,133	\$10,512	\$16,655

Note B—New Accounting Pronouncements

Balance Sheet Disclosures. In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued authoritative guidance in regards to the presentation of netting assets and liabilities as a single amount in the statement of financial position to address the difference between GAAP and international financial reporting standards (“IFRS”). This authoritative guidance is to be applied for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its Financial Statements.

Testing Goodwill for Impairment. In August 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance which is intended to simplify how entities test goodwill for impairment by permitting an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is “more likely than not” that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test. This authoritative guidance is to be applied prospectively and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its Financial Statements.

Comprehensive Income. In June 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance which allows an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This authoritative guidance eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholder’s equity. This authoritative guidance is to be applied retrospectively and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Except for presentation requirements, the Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its Financial Statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance to defer only those changes related to the presentation of reclassification adjustments. The amendments are being made to allow the FASB time to reconsider whether to present on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income for

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note B—New Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

all periods presented. This authoritative guidance is to be applied prospectively and is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its Financial Statements.

Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. In May 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in GAAP and IFRS. The amendments explain how to measure fair value and will improve the comparability of fair value measurement presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP and IFRS. This authoritative guidance is to be applied prospectively and is effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material effect on its Financial Statements.

Business Combinations. In December 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance which specifies that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combinations that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. Furthermore, this guidance expands the supplemental pro forma disclosure requirement. This authoritative guidance was effective prospectively for business combinations with an acquisition date on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance during the three months ended March 31, 2011, did not have an impact on the Company's Financial Statements.

Revenue Recognition—Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements. In October 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance which addresses the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements to enable vendors to account for products or services (deliverables) separately rather than as a combined unit. This guidance establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable. This guidance also eliminates the residual method of allocation and requires that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method. Furthermore, this guidance significantly expands required disclosures related to a vendor's multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. This authoritative guidance was effective for fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance during the three months ended March 31, 2011, did not have an impact on the Company's Financial Statements.

Note C—Other Current Assets

Other current assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Deposits in trusts for employee benefits and retirement plans	\$ 87,646	\$ 82,335
Other	<u>57,791</u>	<u>59,126</u>
	<u>\$145,437</u>	<u>\$141,461</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note D—Goodwill

The following table sets forth the activity in goodwill from December 31, 2009, through December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	<u>Goodwill</u>
Balance as of December 31, 2009	\$189,336
Acquisitions	271
Translation adjustments	180
Balance as of December 31, 2010	189,787
Translation adjustments	(364)
Balance as of December 31, 2011	<u>\$189,423</u>

Note E—Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Computer hardware	\$ 122,619	\$ 115,518
Computer software	249,843	232,657
Furniture and equipment	108,917	115,730
Leasehold improvements	113,972	116,241
Other	15,143	14,716
Property and equipment, cost	610,494	594,862
Accumulated depreciation	(502,522)	(491,211)
Property and equipment, net	<u>\$ 107,972</u>	<u>\$ 103,651</u>

Note F—Accrued Payroll Costs and Retirement Obligations

Accrued payroll costs and retirement obligations consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Payroll and benefits	\$181,035	\$153,329
Employee retirement obligations	87,031	80,716
Workers' compensation	24,217	24,136
Payroll taxes	63,011	56,466
	<u>\$355,294</u>	<u>\$314,647</u>

Included in employee retirement obligations is the following (in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Deferred compensation plan and other benefits related to the Company's Chief Executive Officer	\$71,603	\$67,891

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note G—Notes Payable and Other Indebtedness

The Company issued promissory notes as well as other forms of indebtedness in connection with certain acquisitions and other payment obligations. These are due in varying installments, carry varying interest rates and, in aggregate, amounted to \$1.7 million at December 31, 2011, and \$1.8 million at December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2011, \$1.7 million of the notes were collateralized by a standby letter of credit. The following table shows the schedule of maturities for notes payable and other indebtedness at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

2012	\$ 111
2013	117
2014	128
2015	140
2016	153
Thereafter	<u>1,007</u>
	<u>\$1,656</u>

At December 31, 2011, the notes carried fixed rates and the weighted average interest rate for the above was 9.0% for each of the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

The Company has an uncommitted letter of credit facility (the “facility”) of up to \$35.0 million, which is available to cover the issuance of debt support standby letters of credit. The Company had used \$22.0 million in debt support standby letters of credit as of December 31, 2011 and 2010. Of the debt support standby letters of credit outstanding, \$20.2 million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, satisfies workers’ compensation insurer’s collateral requirements. There is a service fee of 1.25% on the used portion of the facility. The facility is subject to certain financial covenants and expires on August 31, 2012. The Company intends to renew this facility prior to its August 31, 2012 expiration.

Note H—Income Taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Current:			
Federal	\$ 48,068	\$18,085	\$14,571
State	11,969	7,412	4,008
Foreign	23,101	16,232	5,169
Deferred:			
Federal and state	15,117	3,654	10,412
Foreign	2,039	3,716	(4,660)
	<u>\$100,294</u>	<u>\$49,099</u>	<u>\$29,500</u>

Income before the provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Domestic	\$202,210	\$ 88,065	\$66,756
Foreign	48,006	27,103	7
	<u>\$250,216</u>	<u>\$115,168</u>	<u>\$66,763</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note H—Income Taxes (Continued)

The income taxes shown above varied from the statutory federal income tax rates for these periods as follows:

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Federal U.S. income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit	3.4	2.0	2.0
Tax-free interest income	—	—	(0.3)
Non-deductible expenses	1.3	2.7	4.8
Non-U.S. income taxed at different rates, net of foreign tax credits	2.2	3.4	8.0
Federal tax credits	(1.2)	(1.3)	(2.5)
Tax impact of uncertain tax positions	(0.4)	0.2	(5.1)
Other, net	<u>(0.2)</u>	<u>0.6</u>	<u>2.3</u>
Effective tax rate	<u>40.1%</u>	<u>42.6%</u>	<u>44.2%</u>

The deferred portion of the tax provision (benefit) consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Amortization of franchise rights	\$ 514	\$ 570	\$ 628
Amortization of other intangibles	1,142	961	535
Accrued expenses, deducted for tax when paid	(2,076)	4,423	5,069
Capitalized costs for books, deducted for tax	7,448	4,693	6,809
Depreciation	(1,709)	(951)	(9,804)
Federal impact of unrecognized tax benefits	331	(921)	1,353
Foreign tax credit carryforwards	5,719	(5,719)	5,434
Other, net	<u>5,787</u>	<u>4,314</u>	<u>(4,272)</u>
	<u>\$17,156</u>	<u>\$ 7,370</u>	<u>\$ 5,752</u>

The deferred income tax amounts included on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position are composed of the following (in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Current deferred income tax assets, net	\$ 88,578	\$92,087
Long-term deferred income tax liabilities, net	<u>(14,377)</u>	<u>(1,379)</u>
	<u>\$ 74,201</u>	<u>\$90,708</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note H—Income Taxes (Continued)

The components of the deferred income tax amounts at December 31, 2011 and 2010, were as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2011	2010
Deferred Income Tax Assets		
Provision for bad debts	\$ 7,123	\$ 7,299
Employee retirement and other benefit obligations	54,708	49,028
Workers' compensation	8,526	8,284
Deferred compensation	15,502	18,909
Credits and net operating loss carryforwards	42,289	47,847
Other	16,427	18,727
Total deferred income tax assets	<u>144,575</u>	<u>150,094</u>
Deferred Income Tax Liabilities		
Amortization of intangible assets	(20,475)	(18,819)
Property and equipment basis differences	(8,823)	(3,203)
Other	(4,742)	(6,381)
Total deferred income tax liabilities	<u>(34,040)</u>	<u>(28,403)</u>
Valuation allowance	<u>(36,334)</u>	<u>(30,983)</u>
Total deferred income tax assets, net	<u>\$ 74,201</u>	<u>\$ 90,708</u>

The Company has net operating loss carryforwards in a number of states. The tax benefit of these net operating losses is \$0.2 million. These state net operating losses expire in 2013 and later. The Company has net operating loss carryforwards in foreign countries. The tax benefit of these net operating losses is \$34.2 million. These net operating losses expire in 2014 and later.

The Company has not provided deferred income taxes or foreign withholding taxes on \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million of undistributed earnings of its non-U.S. subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, since the Company intends to reinvest these earnings indefinitely. The U.S. tax impact upon repatriation, net of foreign tax credits, would be zero for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

FASB authoritative guidance prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute criteria for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The literature also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

The following table reconciles the total amounts of gross unrecognized tax benefits from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of period	\$12,505	\$13,135	\$20,485
Gross increases—tax positions in prior years	564	910	2,152
Gross decreases—tax positions in prior years	(1,061)	(493)	(6,592)
Gross increases—tax positions in current year	40	64	130
Settlements	(111)	(1,111)	(3,040)
Lapse of statute of limitations	(268)	—	—
Balance at end of period	<u>\$11,669</u>	<u>\$12,505</u>	<u>\$13,135</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note H—Income Taxes (Continued)

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate is \$2.7 million, \$3.5 million and \$3.0 million for 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company’s continuing practice is to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. The total amount of interest and penalties accrued as of December 31, 2011, is \$5.3 million, including a \$0.3 million reduction recorded in income tax expense during the year. The total amount of interest and penalties accrued as of December 31, 2010, was \$5.7 million, including a \$0.4 million increase recorded in income tax expense during the year. The total amount of interest and penalties accrued as of December 31, 2009, was \$5.6 million, including a \$2.8 million reduction recorded in income tax expense during the year.

The Company believes it is reasonably possible that the settlement of certain tax uncertainties could occur within the next twelve months; accordingly, \$4.9 million of the unrecognized gross tax benefit has been classified as a current liability as of December 31, 2011. This amount primarily represents unrecognized tax benefits composed of items related to assessed state income tax audits, as well as state, U.S. and Canadian federal settlement negotiations.

The Company’s major income tax jurisdictions are the United States and Canada. For U.S. federal income tax, the Company remains subject to examination for 2002, 2003, 2008 and subsequent years. For major U.S. states, with few exceptions, the Company remains subject to examination for 2007 and subsequent years. For Canada, the Company remains subject to examination for 2002 and subsequent years.

Note I—Commitments and Contingencies

Rental expense, primarily for office premises, amounted to \$100.6 million, \$103.6 million and \$107.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The approximate minimum rental commitments for 2012 and thereafter under non-cancelable leases in effect at December 31, 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

2012	\$ 94,715
2013	77,661
2014	62,079
2015	49,256
2016	32,845
Thereafter	<u>109,982</u>
	<u>\$426,538</u>

Additionally, as of December 31, 2011, the Company had future purchase commitments of approximately \$28 million over the next three years primarily related to telecom service agreements, software licenses and subscriptions, and computer hardware and software maintenance agreements.

On September 10, 2004, Plaintiff Mark Laffitte, on behalf of himself and a putative class of salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers, filed a complaint in California Superior Court naming the Company and three of its wholly owned subsidiaries as Defendants. The complaint alleges that salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers based in California have been misclassified under California law as exempt employees and seeks an unspecified amount for unpaid overtime pay alleged to be due to them had they been paid as non-exempt hourly employees. In addition, the Plaintiff seeks an unspecified amount for statutory penalties for alleged violations of the California Labor Code arising from the alleged misclassification of these employees as exempt employees. On September 18, 2006, the Court issued an order certifying a class with respect to claims for alleged unpaid overtime pay and related statutory penalties but denied certification with respect to claims relating

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note I—Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

to meal periods and rest time breaks. The Court has stayed the litigation until March 1, 2012. A ruling by the California Supreme Court in a case titled *Pellegrino, et al. v. Robert Half International Inc.*, which, as previously disclosed, the Company does not believe is a material pending legal proceeding, may have a material adverse bearing on the Company's position in this litigation. At this stage of the litigation, it is not feasible to predict the outcome of or a range of loss, should a loss occur, from this proceeding, and accordingly, no amounts have been provided in the Company's financial statements. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the allegations, and the Company intends to continue to vigorously defend against the litigation.

On February 23, 2011, Plaintiff Isabel Apolinario, on behalf of herself and a putative class of salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers, filed a complaint in California Superior Court naming the Company and three of its wholly owned subsidiaries as Defendants. The complaint alleges that salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers based in California have been misclassified under California law as exempt employees and seeks an unspecified amount for unpaid overtime pay alleged to be due to them had they been paid as non-exempt hourly employees. In addition, the Plaintiff seeks an unspecified amount for statutory penalties for alleged violations of the California Labor Code arising from the alleged misclassification of these employees as exempt employees. The Court has stayed this case until March 1, 2012. A ruling by the California Supreme Court in the *Pellegrino* case referenced previously in this Note I may have a material adverse bearing on the Company's position in this litigation. At this stage of the litigation, it is not feasible to predict the outcome of or a range of loss, should a loss occur, from this proceeding, and accordingly, no amounts have been provided in the Company's financial statements. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the allegations in this case, and the Company intends to continue to vigorously defend against the litigation.

On September 24, 2007, Plaintiff Van Williamson, on behalf of himself and a putative class of salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers, filed a complaint in California Superior Court naming the Company and three of its wholly owned subsidiaries as Defendants. The complaint alleges that salaried Account Executives and Staffing Managers based in California were not provided meal periods, paid rest periods, and accurate itemized wage statements. It seeks one hour of wages for each employee for each meal and rest period missed during the statutory liability period. It also seeks an unspecified amount for statutory penalties for alleged violations of the California Labor Code arising from the alleged failure to provide the meal and rest periods and accurate itemized wage statements. The allegations in the complaint are substantially similar to the allegations included in the complaint filed by Mark Laffitte described above. This litigation is stayed pending the California Supreme Court's decision in *Brinker Restaurant Corp. v. Superior Court*, a case unrelated to the Company. A ruling in the *Brinker* case and/or the *Pellegrino* case referenced previously in this Note I may have a material adverse bearing on the Company's position in this litigation. At this stage of the litigation, it is not feasible to predict the outcome of or a range of loss, should a loss occur, from this proceeding, and accordingly, no amounts have been provided in the Company's financial statements. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the allegations, and the Company intends to continue to vigorously defend against the litigation.

On April 23, 2010, Plaintiffs David Opalinski and James McCabe, on behalf of themselves and a putative class of similarly situated Staffing Managers, filed a Complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey naming the Company and one of its subsidiaries as Defendants. The Complaint alleges that salaried Staffing Managers located throughout the U.S. have been misclassified as exempt from the Fair Labor Standards Act's overtime pay requirements. Plaintiffs seek an unspecified amount for unpaid overtime on behalf of themselves and the class they purport to represent. Plaintiffs also seek an unspecified amount for statutory penalties, attorneys' fees and other damages. On October 6, 2011, the Court granted the Company's motion to compel arbitration of the Plaintiffs' allegations. At this stage, it is not feasible to predict the outcome of or a range of loss, should a loss occur, from these allegations and, accordingly, no amounts have been provided in the Company's financial statements. The Company believes it has meritorious defenses to the allegations, and the Company intends to continue to vigorously defend against the allegations.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note I—Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

The Company is involved in a number of other lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business. While management does not expect any of these other matters to have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows, litigation is subject to certain inherent uncertainties.

Legal costs associated with the resolution of claims, lawsuits and other contingencies are expensed as incurred.

Note J—Stockholders' Equity

Stock Repurchase Program. As of December 31, 2011, the Company is authorized to repurchase, from time to time, up to 6.1 million additional shares of the Company's common stock on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, depending on market conditions. The number and the cost of common stock shares repurchased during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, are reflected in the following table (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Common stock repurchased (in shares)	5,308	3,678	4,724
Common stock repurchased	\$141,552	\$96,296	\$110,281

Additional stock repurchases were made in connection with employee stock plans, whereby Company shares were tendered by employees for the payment of exercise price and applicable statutory withholding taxes. The number and the cost of employee stock plan repurchases made during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, are reflected in the following table (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Employee stock plan repurchased (in shares)	1,020	2,084	1,674
Employee stock plan repurchased	\$29,017	\$59,164	\$39,537

The repurchased shares are held in treasury and are presented as if constructively retired. Treasury stock is accounted for using the cost method. Treasury stock activity for each of the three years ended December 31, 2011, (consisting of stock option exercises and the purchase of shares for the treasury) is presented in the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity.

Cash Dividends. The Company's Board of Directors may at their discretion declare and pay dividends upon the shares of the Company's stock either out of the Company's retained earnings or capital surplus. The cash dividends declared during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, are reflected in the following table:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Cash dividends declared per share	\$.56	\$.52	\$.48

Repurchases of shares and issuances of cash dividends are applied first to the extent of retained earnings and any remaining amounts are applied to capital surplus. As a result, the Company had no retained earnings as of December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note K—Stock Plans

Under various stock plans, officers, employees, and outside directors have received or may receive grants of restricted stock, stock units, stock appreciation rights or options to purchase common stock. Grants have been made at the discretion of the Committees of the Board of Directors. Grants generally vest over four years. Shares offered under the plan are authorized but unissued shares or treasury shares.

Options currently outstanding under the plans have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant and consist of non-statutory stock options under the Internal Revenue Code, and generally have a term of 10 years.

Recipients of restricted stock do not pay any cash consideration to the Company for the shares, have the right to vote all shares subject to such grant, and for grants made prior to July 28, 2009, receive all dividends with respect to such shares on the dividend payment dates, whether or not the shares have vested as long as any performance condition has been met. Restricted stock grants made on or after July 28, 2009, contain forfeitable rights to dividends. Dividends for these grants are accrued on the dividend payment dates but are not paid until the shares vest, and dividends accrued for shares that ultimately do not vest are forfeited. Recipients of stock units do not pay any cash consideration for the units, do not have the right to vote, and do not receive dividends with respect to such units. Compensation expense for restricted stock and stock units is generally recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, based on the stock's fair market value on the grant date. For restricted stock grants issued with performance conditions, compensation expense is recognized over each vesting tranche.

FASB authoritative guidance requires that excess tax benefits be recognized as an addition to capital surplus and that unrealized tax benefits be recognized as income tax expense unless there are excess tax benefits from previous equity awards to which it can be offset. The Company calculates the amount of eligible excess tax benefits that are available to offset future tax shortfalls in accordance with the long-form method described in the FASB authoritative guidance.

The Company determines the fair value of options to purchase common stock using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The Company recognizes expense over the service period for options that are expected to vest and records adjustments to compensation expense at the end of the service period if actual forfeitures differ from original estimates. The Company has not granted any options to purchase common stock since 2006.

The Company recognizes compensation expense for only the portion of restricted stock and stock units that is expected to vest, rather than record forfeitures when they occur. If the actual number of forfeitures differs from those estimated by management, additional adjustments to compensation expense may be required in future periods. For purposes of calculating stock-based compensation expense for retirement-eligible employees, the service period is assumed to be met on the grant date or retirement-eligible date, whichever is later.

Stock-based compensation expense consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Stock options	\$ —	\$ 170	\$ 828
Restricted stock and stock units	50,906	56,949	60,320
	<u>\$50,906</u>	<u>\$57,119</u>	<u>\$61,148</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note K—Stock Plans (Continued)

Total unrecognized compensation cost, net of estimated forfeitures, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Stock options	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 218
Restricted stock and stock units	54,419	62,928	80,306
	<u>\$54,419</u>	<u>\$62,928</u>	<u>\$80,524</u>

The unrecognized compensation cost is expected to be recognized over the next four years.

The following table reflects activity under all stock plans from December 31, 2008 through December 31, 2011, and the weighted average exercise prices (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Restricted Stock Plans		Stock Option Plans	
	Number of Shares/Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share
Outstanding, December 31, 2008	5,002	\$31.00	9,178	\$20.98
Granted	2,827	\$16.36	—	—
Exercised	—	—	(1,700)	\$14.68
Restrictions lapsed	(2,125)	\$27.80	—	—
Forfeited	(335)	\$25.98	(189)	\$25.42
Outstanding, December 31, 2009	5,369	\$24.87	7,289	\$22.34
Granted	1,545	\$26.81	—	—
Exercised	—	—	(1,726)	\$22.22
Restrictions lapsed	(2,722)	\$28.17	—	—
Forfeited	(196)	\$23.48	(247)	\$29.66
Outstanding, December 31, 2010	3,996	\$23.44	5,316	\$22.04
Granted	1,402	\$32.02	—	—
Exercised	—	—	(806)	\$22.73
Restrictions lapsed	(2,389)	\$25.27	—	—
Forfeited	(94)	\$23.09	(60)	\$26.05
Outstanding, December 31, 2011	<u>2,915</u>	\$26.08	<u>4,450</u>	\$21.85

The total pre-tax intrinsic value of stock options exercised and the total fair value of shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, are reflected in the following table (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Total pre-tax intrinsic value of stock options exercised . . .	\$ 6,429	\$ 9,567	\$15,533
Total fair value of shares vested	\$67,076	\$79,434	\$51,013

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note K—Stock Plans (Continued)

The following table summarizes information about options outstanding and exercisable as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands, except number of years and per share amounts):

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding and Exercisable			
	Number Outstanding and Exercisable as of December 31, 2011	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
\$12.22 to \$16.94	488	1.04	\$15.99	\$ 6,088
\$16.95 to \$16.95	1,115	0.83	\$16.95	12,834
\$17.06 to \$22.85	1,161	1.64	\$21.53	8,040
\$22.97 to \$33.89	1,686	2.27	\$27.02	2,428
	<u>4,450</u>	1.61	\$21.85	<u>\$29,390</u>

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value, based on the Company's closing stock price of \$28.46 as of December 31, 2011, which would have been received by the option holders had they exercised their in-the-money options as of that date.

At December 31, 2011, the total number of available shares to grant under the plans (consisting of either restricted stock, stock units, stock appreciation rights or options to purchase common stock) was approximately 7.4 million. All of the 4.5 million options outstanding at December 31, 2011, were exercisable with a weighted average exercise price of \$21.85.

Note L—Net Income Per Share

The calculation of net income per share for the three years ended December 31, 2011 is reflected in the following table (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Basic net income per share:			
Net income	\$149,922	\$ 66,069	\$ 37,263
Income allocated to participating securities	2,159	2,340	2,196
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$147,763</u>	<u>\$ 63,729</u>	<u>\$ 35,067</u>
Basic weighted average shares	140,479	142,833	145,912
Basic net income per share	\$ 1.05	\$.45	\$.24
Diluted net income per share:			
Net income	\$149,922	\$ 66,069	\$ 37,263
Income allocated to participating securities	2,150	2,340	2,196
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$147,772</u>	<u>\$ 63,729</u>	<u>\$ 35,067</u>
Basic weighted average shares	140,479	142,833	145,912
Dilutive effect of potential common shares	1,311	1,195	699
Diluted weighted average shares	<u>141,790</u>	<u>144,028</u>	<u>146,611</u>
Diluted net income per share	\$ 1.04	\$.44	\$.24

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note L—Net Income Per Share (Continued)

Potential common shares include the dilutive effect of stock options, unvested performance-based restricted stock, restricted stock which contain forfeitable rights to dividends, and stock units. The weighted average diluted common shares outstanding for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, excludes the effect of 0.5 million, 0.8 million, and 4.5 million anti-dilutive potential common shares. Employee stock options will have a dilutive effect under the treasury method only when the respective period's average market value of the Company's common stock exceeds the exercise proceeds. Under the treasury method, exercise proceeds include the amount the employee must pay for exercising stock options, the amount of compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized, and the amount of tax benefits that would be recorded in capital surplus, if the options were exercised and the stock units and performance-based restricted stock had vested.

Note M—Business Segments

The Company, which aggregates its operating segments based on the nature of services, has three reportable segments: temporary and consultant staffing, permanent placement staffing, and risk consulting and internal audit services. The temporary and consultant segment provides specialized staffing in the accounting and finance, administrative and office, information technology, legal, advertising, marketing and web design fields. The permanent placement segment provides full-time personnel in the accounting, finance, administrative and office, and information technology fields. The risk consulting segment provides business and technology risk consulting and internal audit services.

The accounting policies of the segments are set forth in Note A—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. The Company evaluates performance based on income or loss from operations before net interest income, intangible amortization expense, and income taxes.

The following table provides a reconciliation of revenue and operating income (loss) by reportable segment to consolidated results (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2011	2010	2009
Net service revenues			
Temporary and consultant staffing	\$3,050,999	\$2,568,255	\$2,471,132
Permanent placement staffing	302,155	221,219	181,856
Risk consulting and internal audit services	423,822	385,619	383,559
	<u>\$3,776,976</u>	<u>\$3,175,093</u>	<u>\$3,036,547</u>
Operating income (loss)			
Temporary and consultant staffing	\$ 209,101	\$ 108,443	\$ 104,521
Permanent placement staffing	35,340	17,502	(6,973)
Risk consulting and internal audit services	4,977	(10,945)	(30,768)
	249,418	115,000	66,780
Amortization of intangible assets	153	411	1,460
Interest income, net	(951)	(579)	(1,443)
Income before income taxes	<u>\$ 250,216</u>	<u>\$ 115,168</u>	<u>\$ 66,763</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note M—Business Segments (Continued)

The Company does not report total assets by segment. The following tables represent identifiable assets by business segment (in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u>		
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Accounts receivable			
Temporary and consultant staffing	\$342,122	\$296,722	\$257,918
Permanent placement staffing	75,333	59,952	43,815
Risk consulting and internal audit services	<u>98,499</u>	<u>88,070</u>	<u>82,082</u>
	<u>\$515,954</u>	<u>\$444,744</u>	<u>\$383,815</u>
	<u>December 31,</u>		
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Goodwill			
Temporary and consultant staffing	\$134,507	\$134,653	\$134,780
Permanent placement staffing	26,545	26,568	26,579
Risk consulting and internal audit services	<u>28,371</u>	<u>28,566</u>	<u>27,977</u>
	<u>\$189,423</u>	<u>\$189,787</u>	<u>\$189,336</u>

The Company operates internationally, with operations in North America, South America, Europe, Asia and Australia. The following tables represent revenues and long-lived assets by geographic location (in thousands):

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Net service revenues			
Domestic	\$2,655,443	\$2,263,316	\$2,161,826
Foreign	<u>1,121,533</u>	<u>911,777</u>	<u>874,721</u>
	<u>\$3,776,976</u>	<u>\$3,175,093</u>	<u>\$3,036,547</u>
	<u>December 31,</u>		
	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Assets, long-lived			
Domestic	\$ 87,146	\$ 86,689	\$ 101,034
Foreign	<u>20,826</u>	<u>16,962</u>	<u>23,624</u>
	<u>\$ 107,972</u>	<u>\$ 103,651</u>	<u>\$ 124,658</u>

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note N—Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following tabulation shows certain quarterly financial data for 2011 and 2010 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

<u>2011</u>	<u>Quarter</u>			
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
Net service revenues	\$880,869	\$937,966	\$984,668	\$973,473
Gross margin	\$338,089	\$372,288	\$391,903	\$387,322
Income before income taxes	\$ 44,576	\$ 61,284	\$ 72,195	\$ 72,161
Net income	\$ 26,705	\$ 36,425	\$ 44,168	\$ 42,624
Net income available to common stockholders - diluted	\$ 26,293	\$ 35,890	\$ 43,556	\$ 42,037
Basic net income per share	\$.18	\$.25	\$.31	\$.30
Diluted net income per share	\$.18	\$.25	\$.31	\$.30

<u>2010</u>	<u>Quarter</u>			
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
Net service revenues	\$737,173	\$769,090	\$817,258	\$851,572
Gross margin	\$268,128	\$289,858	\$309,140	\$326,907
Income before income taxes	\$ 12,265	\$ 22,387	\$ 37,268	\$ 43,248
Net income	\$ 8,475	\$ 12,181	\$ 20,623	\$ 24,790
Net income available to common stockholders - diluted	\$ 7,626	\$ 11,660	\$ 20,099	\$ 24,144
Basic net income per share	\$.05	\$.08	\$.14	\$.17
Diluted net income per share	\$.05	\$.08	\$.14	\$.17

Note O—Subsequent Events

On February 8, 2012, the Company announced the following:

Quarterly dividend per share	\$.15
Declaration date	February 8, 2012
Record date	February 24, 2012
Payment date	March 15, 2012

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Robert Half International Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Robert Half International Inc., and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting appearing in Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
San Francisco, California
February 16, 2012

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Management, including the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files and submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that occurred during the Company's fourth fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, using criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and concluded that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included herein.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Except as provided below in this Part III, the information required by Items 10 through 14 of Part III is incorporated by reference from Item 1 of this Report and from the registrant's Proxy Statement, under the captions "Nomination and Election of Directors," "Beneficial Stock Ownership," "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Compensation Tables," "Corporate Governance," "The Board and Committees" and "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" which Proxy Statement will be mailed to stockholders in connection with the registrant's annual meeting of stockholders which is scheduled to be held in May 2012.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

<u>Plan Category</u>	<u>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights A</u>	<u>Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights B</u>	<u>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column A) C</u>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	2,473,722	\$21.35	7,353,242
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders(a)	1,975,882	\$22.49	0
Total	4,449,604	\$21.85	7,353,242

(a) These plans, by their terms, expressly prohibited any grants to directors or executive officers. All such plans were terminated in May 2005, and no future grants may be made under such plans. The information in the table reflects shares issuable upon the exercise of options granted before such plans were terminated.

Since May 2005, all grants have been made pursuant to the Stock Incentive Plan, which was approved by stockholders in May 2005 and re-approved in May 2008 and May 2011. Such plan authorizes the issuance of stock options, restricted stock, stock units and stock appreciation rights to directors, executive officers and employees.

Description of Equity Plans Not Approved by Stockholders

All of the following plans were terminated in May 2005. No future grants may be made under any of these plans.

StockPlus Plan. The StockPlus Plan authorized the grant of stock options to employees other than directors and executive officers. No option could have a term of more than ten years.

Stock Option Plan for Field Employees. The Stock Option Plan for Field Employees authorized the grant of stock options to employees or consultants other than directors and executive officers. No option could have a term of more than ten years.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) 1. Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries are included in Item 8 of this report:

Consolidated statements of financial position at December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009.

Consolidated statements of stockholders' equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009.

Consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009.

Notes to consolidated financial statements.

Report of independent registered public accounting firm.

Selected quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 are set forth in Note N—Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited) included in Item 8 of this report.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule II—Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Schedules I, III, IV and V have been omitted as they are not applicable.

3. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2009.
3.2	By-Laws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.
4.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant (filed as Exhibit 3.1).
*10.1	Form of Power of Attorney and Indemnification Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2002.
*10.2	Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Harold M. Messmer, Jr., incorporated by reference to (i) Exhibit 10.(c) to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1985, (ii) Exhibit 10.2(b) to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 33-15171), (iii) Exhibit 10.2(c) to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1987, (iv) Exhibit 10.2(d) to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1988, (v) Exhibit 28.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 1990, (vi) Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1991, (vii) Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 1993, (viii) Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1993, (ix) Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 1995, (x) Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Annual Report on

**Exhibit
No.****Exhibit**

-
- Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1995, (xi) Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996, (xii) Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997, (xiii) Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998, (xiv) Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999, (xv) Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2004, (xvi) Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2008, and (xvii) Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.
- *10.3 Amended and Restated Retirement Agreement between Registrant and Harold M. Messmer Jr., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 7, 2006.
- *10.4 Excise Tax Restoration Agreement as amended and restated, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2008.
- *10.5 Outside Directors' Option Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2004.
- *10.6 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2000.
- *10.7 Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2008.
- *10.8 Amended and Restated Severance Agreement dated as of February 9, 2011, between Registrant and Paul F. Gentzkow, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.
- *10.9 Agreement dated as of July 31, 1995, between Registrant and Paul F. Gentzkow, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2000.
- *10.10 Form of Amended and Restated Severance Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.
- *10.11 Form of Indemnification Agreement for Directors of the Registrant, incorporated by reference to (i) Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1989 and (ii) Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1993.
- *10.12 Form of Indemnification Agreement for Executive Officers of Registrant, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2000.
- *10.13 Senior Executive Retirement Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.
- *10.14 Collateral Assignment of Split Dollar Insurance Agreement, incorporated by reference to (i) Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2000, and (ii) Exhibit 10.18 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003.
- *10.15 Form of Part-Time Employment Agreement, as amended and restated, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2009.
- *10.16 StockPlus Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.
- *10.17 Annual Performance Bonus Plan, as amended and restated, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2010.

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
*10.18	Stock Option Plan for Field Employees, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.
*10.19	Equity Incentive Plan—Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 21, 2004.
*10.20	Equity Incentive Plan—Form of Stock Option Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 21, 2004.
*10.21	Outside Directors' Option Plan—Form of Stock Option Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 21, 2004.
*10.22	Summary of Outside Director Cash Remuneration, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2010.
*10.23	Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011.
*10.24	Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Restricted Share Agreement for Executive Officers, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 3, 2005.
*10.25	Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Stock Option Agreement for Executive Officers, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 3, 2005.
*10.26	Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Restricted Share Agreement for Outside Directors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2006.
*10.27	Stock Incentive Plan—Form of Stock Option Agreement for Outside Directors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.6 to Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 3, 2005.
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23.1	Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm's Consent.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Rule 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
32.2	Rule 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
101.1	Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K formatted in XBRL.

* Management contract or compensatory plan.

Schedule II—Valuation and Qualifying Accounts
(in thousands)

	<u>Balance at Beginning of Period</u>	<u>Charged to Expenses</u>	<u>Deductions</u>	<u>Translation Adjustments</u>	<u>Balance at End of Period</u>
Year Ended December 31, 2009					
Allowance for doubtful accounts					
receivable	\$34,217	(839)	(10,504)	(1,451)	\$21,423
Deferred tax valuation allowance	\$14,578	7,295	(1,320)	607	\$21,160
Year Ended December 31, 2010					
Allowance for doubtful accounts					
receivable	\$21,423	6,795	(4,491)	(2,158)	\$21,569
Deferred tax valuation allowance	\$21,160	12,592	(2,660)	(109)	\$30,983
Year Ended December 31, 2011					
Allowance for doubtful accounts					
receivable	\$21,569	6,673	(4,370)	(1,245)	\$22,627
Deferred tax valuation allowance	\$30,983	7,745	(2,308)	(86)	\$36,334

SUBSIDIARIES OF ROBERT HALF INTERNATIONAL INC.

Name of Subsidiary	Jurisdiction of Incorporation
RH Holding Company, Inc.	California
Benchmark Staffing Inc.	California
BMK Resources, Inc.	California
Robert Half of California, Inc.	California
Cooperative Resources, Inc.	California
Robert Half Staffing, Inc.	California
Robert Half Temporaries, Inc.	California
Jersey Temporaries, Inc.	Delaware
Protiviti Inc.	Delaware
Protiviti Holdings Inc.	Delaware
Protiviti SC Inc.	Delaware
RH-TM Resources, Inc.	Delaware
Protiviti Government Services, Inc.	Maryland
Penta Advisory Services, LLC	Maryland
Robert Half Corporation	Nevada
Robert Half Nevada Staff, Inc.	Nevada
Robert Half of Pennsylvania, Inc.	Pennsylvania
Protiviti Pty. Limited	Australia
Robert Half Australia Pty. Ltd.	Australia
Robert Half Austria GmbH	Austria
Protiviti BVBA	Belgium
Robert Half BVBA	Belgium
Robert Half Consulting Services BVBA	Belgium
Robert Half Assessoria Em Recursos Humanos Ltda.	Brazil
Robert Half Trabalho Temporário Ltda.	Brazil
Protiviti EOOD	Bulgaria
Robert Half Canada Inc.	Canada
Robert Half Internacional Empresa De Servicios Transitorios Limitada	Chile
Protiviti Shanghai Co., Ltd.	China
Robert Half Human Resources Shanghai Company Limited	China
Robert Half Hong Kong Limited	China, Hong Kong SAR
Protiviti Hong Kong Co. Ltd.	China, Hong Kong SAR
Robert Half Czech Republic, s.r.o.	Czech Republic
Protiviti SAS	France
Robert Half International France SAS	France
Robert Half SAS	France

Name of Subsidiary	Jurisdiction of Incorporation
Protiviti GmbH	Germany
Robert Half Deutschland Beteiligungsgesellschaft GmbH	Germany
Robert Half Deutschland GmbH & Co. KG	Germany
Protiviti Consulting Private Limited	India
PI Advisory Private Limited	India
Robert Half Ireland Limited	Ireland
Protiviti S.r.l.	Italy
Robert Half S.r.l.	Italy
Protiviti LLC	Japan
Robert Half Japan Ltd.	Japan
Protiviti Yuhan Hoesa	Korea
Robert Half Sarl	Luxembourg
Robert Half Holding Sarl	Luxembourg
Protiviti B.V.	Netherlands
Robert Half International B.V.	Netherlands
Robert Half Nederland B.V.	Netherlands
Robert Half New Zealand Limited	New Zealand
Protiviti Pte. Ltd.	Singapore
Robert Half International Pte. Ltd.	Singapore
Robert Half International Spain, Empresa de Trabajo Temporal, S.L.	Spain
Protiviti Spain S.L.	Spain
Robert Half S.L.	Spain
Robert Half GmbH	Switzerland
Robert Half International (Dubai) Ltd.	United Arab Emirates
Protiviti Limited	United Kingdom
Robert Half Holdings Limited	United Kingdom
Robert Half Limited	United Kingdom

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 33-14706, 33-32622, 33-32623, 33-39187, 33-39204, 33-40795, 33-52617, 33-56639, 33-56641, 33-57763, 33-62138, 33-62140, 33-65401, 33-65403, 333-05743, 333-05745, 333-18283, 333-18339, 333-38786, 333-38820, 333-42471, 333-42573, 333-42343, 333-42269, 333-50068, 333-50094, 333-66038, 333-66042, 333-68193, 333-68135, 333-68273, 333-75694, 333-79793, 333-79829, 333-88001, 333-91173, 333-91151, 333-91167, 333-98737, 333-125044 and 333-151015) of Robert Half International Inc., of our report dated February 16, 2012, relating to the consolidated financial statements, financial statement schedule and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Form 10-K.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

San Francisco, California
February 16, 2012

Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

I, Harold M. Messmer, Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Robert Half International Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 16, 2012

/s/ HAROLD M. MESSMER, JR.

Harold M. Messmer, Jr.
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

I, M. Keith Waddell, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of Robert Half International Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 16, 2012

/s/ M. KEITH WADDELL

M. Keith Waddell
Vice Chairman, President and
Chief Financial Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT
TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 of Robert Half International Inc. (the "Form 10-K"), I, Harold M. Messmer, Jr., Chief Executive Officer of Robert Half International Inc., certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Form 10-K fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Robert Half International Inc.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to Robert Half International Inc. and will be retained by Robert Half International Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

February 16, 2012

/s/ Harold M. Messmer, Jr.

Harold M. Messmer, Jr.
Chief Executive Officer
Robert Half International Inc.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT
TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 of Robert Half International Inc. (the "Form 10-K"), I, M. Keith Waddell, Chief Financial Officer of Robert Half International Inc., certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Form 10-K fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Robert Half International Inc.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging, or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to Robert Half International Inc. and will be retained by Robert Half International Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

February 16, 2012

/s/ M. Keith Waddell

M. Keith Waddell
Chief Financial Officer
Robert Half International Inc.